arXiv:0712.2549v1 [math.RA] 16 Dec 2007

DOUBLE ORE EXTENSIONS

JAMES J. ZHANG AND JUN ZHANG

ABSTRACT. A double Ore extension is a natural generalization of the Ore extension. We prove that a connected graded double Ore extension of an Artin-Schelter regular algebra is Artin-Schelter regular. Some other basic properties such as the determinant of the DE-data are studied. Using the double Ore extension, we construct 26 families of Artin-Schelter regular algebras of global dimension four in a sequel paper.

0. INTRODUCTION

In 1933 Ore introduced and studied a version of noncommutative polynomial ring [Or] which has become one of most basic and useful constructions in ring theory. Such a polynomial ring is now called *Ore extension* [MR, p.37]. Given an algebra A, an algebra automorphism σ of A and a σ-derivation δ of A, the Ore extension of A associated to (σ, δ) is obtained by adding a single generator y to A subject to the relation

$$yr = \sigma(r)y + \delta(r)$$

for all $r \in A$. This Ore extension of A is denoted by $A[y; \sigma, \delta]$. In this paper we introduce a new construction that is obtained by adding two generators y_1 and y_2 simultaneously to A. This construction is a natural generalization of the Ore extension; and for this reason, it is called a *double Ore extension* or just *double extension* for short. Note that a double extension is usually different from an iterated Ore extension that is obtained by forming the Ore extension twice (see the algebra in Example 4.2 and Proposition 0.5(c)). A double extension of A is denoted by $A_P[y_1, y_2; \sigma, \delta, \tau]$ and the meanings of DE-data $\{P, \sigma, \delta, \tau\}$ will be explained soon. Some ideas related to double extensions were used by Patrick [Pa] and Nyman [Ny], however, we have not found any literature devoted to a systematic study of this construction. Our main interest on double extension is to construct more Artin-Schelter regular algebras of global dimension four [ZZ]. The double extension seems quite similar to the Ore extension. So we wish to extend some basic properties of Ore extensions to double extensions.. Surprisingly, many techniques used for Ore extensions are invalid for double extensions. For example, we have not been able to prove that a double extension of a noetherian ring is noetherian. Many new and complicated constraints have to be posted in constructing a double extension. General ring-theoretic properties of double extensions are not known (see questions in Section 4).

The motivation for this paper is to construct new Artin-Schelter regular algebras. It is very important in noncommutative algebraic geometry to classify all Artin-Schelter regular algebras of global dimension four that are the homogeneous

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16S36, 16W50, 16A62.

Key words and phrases. Ore extension, double Ore extension, Artin-Schelter regular.

coordinate rings of noncommutative projective 3-spaces. There has been extensive research in this topic; and many non-isomorphic families of regular algebras have been discovered in recent years [LPWZ, Sk1, Sk2, SS, VV1, VV2, VVW, Va1, Va2]. In a sequel paper [ZZ] we use the double extension to construct 26 families of Artin-Schelter regular algebras of dimension four. One of the main results in [ZZ] is

Theorem 0.1. [ZZ, Theorem 0.1(a)] *Let B be an Artin-Schelter regular domain of global dimension four that is generated by four elements of degree 1. If B is a double extension, then it is strongly noetherian, Auslander regular and Cohen-Macaulay.*

In this paper we study some basic ring-theoretic and homological properties of double extensions so we can focus on the other issues such as classification in the sequel [ZZ]. As mentioned earlier double extensions seem much more difficult to study than Ore extensions. One result we can prove is the following.

Theorem 0.2. *Let A be an Artin-Schelter regular algebra. If B is a connected graded double extension of A, then B is Artin-Schelter regular and* $\operatorname{gldim} B = \operatorname{gldim} A + 2$.

To get a further idea about a double extension, let us explain the associated DE-data $\{P, \sigma, \delta, \tau\}$. Let σ be an algebra homomorphism $A \to M_2(A)$ where $M_2(A)$ is the 2×2-matrix algebra over A and let δ be a σ-derivation from $A \to A^{\oplus 2}$, where $A^{\oplus 2}$ is the 2×1-matrix over A, satisfying

$$\delta(rs) = \sigma(r)\delta(s) + \delta(r)s$$

for all $r, s \in A$. The multiplication $\sigma(r)\delta(s)$ makes sense because $A^{\oplus 2}$ is a left $M_2(A)$-module. Two extra generators y_1 and y_2 satisfies a "quadratic" relation

$$y_2 y_1 = p_{12} y_1 y_2 + p_{11} y_1^2 + \tau_1 y_1 + \tau_2 y_2 + \tau_0$$

where $p_{12}, p_{11} \in k$ and $\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_0 \in A$. We call $P := \{p_{12}, p_{11}\}$ the parameter and $\tau := \{\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_0\}$ the tail. There are other conditions relating y_1, y_2 with $\{P, \sigma, \delta, \tau\}$. For example, we need

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 r \\ y_2 r \end{pmatrix} = \sigma(r) \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} + \delta(r)$$

for all $r \in A$. A complete description of a double extension is given from Definition 1.3 to Convention 1.6. A shorter and more abstract definition of a connected graded double extension is given in [ZZ, Definition 1.1 and Lemma 1.4].

As a consequence of Definition 1.3, we have that $p_{12} \neq 0$ and that σ is invertible in the sense of Definition 1.8 (note that the map σ can not be invertible in the usual sense because it is not surjective). Without these extra conditions, $A_P[y_1, y_2; \sigma, \delta, \tau]$ is called a right double extension. When τ and δ are zero, the (right) double extension is denoted by $A_P[y_1, y_2; \sigma]$.

The DE-data are useful; for example, we can define the determinant of σ which plays an essential role in proving Theorem 0.2. Let $B = A_P[y_1, y_2; \sigma, \delta, \tau]$ be a (right) double extension. The *determinant* of σ is defined to be

$$\det \sigma : r \mapsto -p_{11}\sigma_{12}(\sigma_{11}(r)) + \sigma_{22}(\sigma_{11}(r)) - p_{12}\sigma_{12}(\sigma_{21}(r))$$

for all $r \in A$, where $\sigma(r) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11}(r) & \sigma_{12}(r) \\ \sigma_{21}(r) & \sigma_{22}(r) \end{pmatrix}$. This formula reminds us the quantum determinant of a quantum 2×2-matrix; and when $P = (1, 0)$ it becomes

$$\det \sigma = \sigma_{22} \circ \sigma_{11} - \sigma_{12} \circ \sigma_{21}$$

which agrees with the usual determinant in linear algebra (but $\det\sigma \neq \sigma_{11}\circ\sigma_{22} - \sigma_{12}\circ\sigma_{21}$ in general). The following result characterizes the invertibility of σ.

Proposition 0.3 (Proposition 2.1). *Let $B = A_P[y_1, y_2; \sigma, \delta, \tau]$ be a right double extension.*

- (a) $\det\sigma$ *is an algebra endomorphism of A.*
- (b) *Suppose that $p_{12} \neq 0$ and that B is a connected graded right double extension. Then* $\det\sigma$ *is invertible if and only if σ is invertible in the sense of Definition 1.8.*

The determinant $\det\sigma$ can also be recovered by the cohomology.

Theorem 0.4 (Theorem 2.2). *Let $B = A_P[y_1, y_2; \sigma]$ be a double extension. Identifying A with the factor ring $B/(y_1, y_2)$. Then*

$$\operatorname{Ext}^i_B(A, B) = \begin{cases} {}^{\det\sigma}A & i = 2 \\ 0 & i \neq 2 \end{cases}$$

where the left and right A-actions on the A-bimodule ${}^{\det\sigma}A$ is defined by

$$l * a * r = (\det\sigma(l))ar$$

for all $l, a, r \in A$.

Since the double extension is a new construction we feel that it is worth to study some simple examples in some details. Let h be a nonzero scalar in k and let $B(h)$ be the algebra generated by four degree 1 elements x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 and subject to the six quadratic relations

$$\begin{aligned} x_2x_1 &= -x_1x_2, \\ y_2y_1 &= -y_1y_2, \\ y_1x_1 &= hx_1y_1 + hx_2y_1 + hx_1y_2, \\ y_1x_2 &= hx_1y_2, \\ y_2x_1 &= hx_2y_1, \\ y_2x_2 &= -hx_2y_1 - hx_1y_2 + hx_2y_2. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 0.5. *Let $B(h)$ be the algebra defined as above.*

- (a) *$B(h)$ is a double extension $A_P[y_1, y_2; \sigma]$ where $A = k\langle x_1, x_2\rangle/(x_1x_2 + x_2x_1)$ and $P = (-1, 0)$.*
- (b) *It is an Artin-Schelter regular, Auslander regular, Cohen-Macaulay, Koszul and strongly noetherian domain.*
- (c) *It is neither an Ore extension nor a normal extension of a 3-dimensional Artin-Schelter regular algebra.*
- (d) *$B(h)$ is PI if and only if h is a root of unity if and only if the automorphism* $\det\sigma$ *has finite order.*
- (e) *The quotient division ring of $B(h)$ is generated by two elements.*

Other properties of $B(h)$ can be found in Section 4.

Here is an outline of the paper. We give the definition and some remarks in Section 1. The proofs of Proposition 0.3 and Theorem 0.4 (depending on a lot of computation) are given in Section 2. The proof of Theorem 0.2 is given in Section 3. We like to remark that Theorem 0.4 is a key step for the proof of Theorem 0.2. Section 4 contains some examples and some basic questions.

A multi-variable version of the double extension can be defined similar to Definition 1.3. We expect that multi-variable extensions are useful for constructing higher dimensional Artin-Schelter regular algebras.

1. Definitions

Throughout k is a commutative base field. For convenience we also assume that k is algebraically closed. Everything is over k; in particular, an algebra or a ring is a k-algebra. Here is the definition of the original Ore extension.

Definition 1.1. Let A be an algebra with automorphism σ. Let δ be a σ-derivation that satisfies

$$\delta(ab) = \sigma(a)\delta(b) + \delta(a)b$$

for all $a, b \in A$. The *Ore extension* of A associated with data $\{\sigma, \delta\}$ is a ring B, containing A as a subring, generated by elements in A and a new variable y and subject to the relation

$$ya = \sigma(a)y + \delta(a) \tag{E1.1.1}$$

for all $a \in A$. The Ore extension ring B is denoted by $A[y; \sigma, \delta]$.

Remark 1.2. The relation (E1.1.1) is different from the one in the definition of the Ore extension given in [MR, p.15]. In fact the Ore extension $A[y; \sigma, \delta]$ in Definition 1.1 is isomorphic to the Ore extension $A[y; \sigma', \delta']$ of [MR, p.15] with $(\sigma', \delta') = (\sigma^{-1}, -\delta\sigma^{-1})$. Therefore two definitions are equivalent. The reason we choose (E1.1.1) instead of the one in [MR, Chapter 1] is that a formula similar to (E1.1.1), namely (R2), is more natural when we apply Bergman's diamond lemma in the double extension case.

We refer to [MR, Chapter 1] for some basic properties of Ore extensions. One way to characterize Ore extensions is that $A[y; \sigma, \delta]$ is isomorphic to free modules $\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} Ay^n$ and $\bigoplus_{n\geq 0} y^n A$ as left and right A-modules respectively. We use this characterization to give an "abstract" definition of double extension without using σ and δ. Since our main interest is about connected graded rings, we will restrict our attention to the graded case in later sections.

Definition 1.3. Let A be an algebra and B be another algebra containing A as a subring.

(a) We say B is a *right double extension* of A if the following conditions hold:

  (ai) B is generated by A and two new variables y_1 and y_2.

  (aii) $\{y_1, y_2\}$ satisfies a relation

$$y_2 y_1 = p_{12} y_1 y_2 + p_{11} y_1^2 + \tau_1 y_1 + \tau_2 y_2 + \tau_0 \tag{R1}$$

  where $p_{12}, p_{11} \in k$ and $\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_0 \in A$.

  (aiii) As a left A-module, $B = \sum_{n_1, n_2 \geq 0} A y_1^{n_1} y_2^{n_2}$ and it is a left free A-module with a basis $\{y_1^{n_1} y_2^{n_2} \mid n_1 \geq 0, n_2 \geq 0\}$.

  (aiv) $y_1 A + y_2 A \subseteq A y_1 + A y_2 + A$

In the graded case it is required that all relations of B are homogeneous with assignment $\deg y_1 > 0$ and $\deg y_2 > 0$. Let P denote the set of scalar parameters $\{p_{12}, p_{11}\}$ and let τ denote the set $\{\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_0\}$. We call P the *parameter* and τ the *tail*.

(b) We say B is a *left double extension* of A if the following conditions hold:

(bi) B is generated by A and two variables y_1 and y_2.

(bii) $\{y_1, y_2\}$ satisfies

$$y_1y_2 = p'_{12}y_2y_1 + p'_{11}y_1^2 + y_1\tau'_1 + y_2\tau'_2 + \tau'_0 \tag{L1}$$

where $p'_{12}, p'_{11} \in k$ and $\tau'_1, \tau'_2, \tau'_0 \in A$.

(biii) As a right A-module, $B = \sum_{n_1,n_2\geq 0} y_2^{n_1}y_1^{n_2}A$ and it is a right free A-module with a basis $\{y_2^{n_1}y_1^{n_2} \mid n_1 \geq 0, n_2 \geq 0\}$.

(biv) $Ay_1 + Ay_2A \subseteq y_1A + y_2A + A$.

(c) We say B is a *double extension* if it is a left and a right double extension of A with the same generating set $\{y_1, y_2\}$.

We admit that our definition of a double extension is neither most general nor ideal, but it works very well in [ZZ] where we only consider connected graded regular algebras generated in degree 1. Hopefully an improvement of the definition will be found when we study other classes of noncommutative rings.

Remark 1.4. (a) In many examples presented in later sections, both A and B are connected graded and $\deg y_1 = \deg y_2 = 1$.

(b) Up to a linear transformation of the vector space $ky_1 + ky_2$, the parameter set $\{p_{12}, p_{11}\}$ can be assumed to be one of the following: $\{0, 0\}, \{1, 1\}$ and $\{p, 0\}$ for some $p \neq 0$. Such a linear transformation does not affect the definition of a right double extension.

(c) The relation (R1) could be made as general as

$$p_{22}y^2 + p_{21}y_2y_1 + p_{12}y_1y_2 + p_{11}y_1^2 = \tau_1y_1 + \tau_2y_2 + \tau_0. \tag{R1'}$$

Assume $p_{22}p_{11} - p_{12}p_{21} \neq 0$. After a linear transformation, we may assume that $\{p_{22}, p_{21}, p_{12}, p_{11}\}$ is either $\{0, 1, p_{12}, 0\}$ or $\{0, 1, -1, -1\}$. Therefore the equation (R1') under the condition $p_{22}p_{11} - p_{12}p_{21} \neq 0$ is equivalent to the equation (R1) under the condition $p_{12} \neq 0$.

(d) If B is a right double extension as in Definition 1.3(a), we do not require that $p_{12} \neq 0$. If B is a double extension of A, then, by comparing (R1) with (L1), $p_{12}p'_{12} = 1$ and hence $p_{12} \neq 0$.

(e) If B is a double extension, then $Ay_1 + Ay_2 + A = y_1A + y_2A + A$ and it is a free A-module of rank 3 on both sides.

We recall a basic property of an Ore extension which indicates that the condition in Definition 1.3(aiii) is reasonable.

Lemma 1.5. *Let $B = A[y_1; \sigma_1, \delta_1]$ be an Ore extension of A and $C = B[y_2; \sigma_2, \delta_2]$ be an Ore extension of B.*

(a) *B is a free left A-module with a basis $\{y_1^n\}_{n\geq 0}$.*

(b) *C is a free left A-module with a basis $\{y_1^{n_1}y_2^{n_2}\}_{n_1,n_2\geq 0}$.*

The ring $C = (A[y_1; \sigma_1, \delta_1])[y_2; \sigma_2, \delta_2]$ in the above lemma is called an *iterated Ore extension* of A. In general C is not a (right) double extension in the sense of Definition 1.3(a) because C might not have a relation of the form (R1). If σ_2 is chosen properly so that (R1) holds for C, then C becomes a (right) double extension. So many double extensions are iterated Ore extensions and vice versa.

For our computation it is more useful to have an explicit description than an abstract definition. We rewrite the condition in Definition 1.3(aiv) as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} r := \begin{pmatrix} y_1r \\ y_2r \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11}(r) & \sigma_{12}(r) \\ \sigma_{21}(r) & \sigma_{22}(r) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \delta_1(r) \\ \delta_2(r) \end{pmatrix} \tag{R2}$$

for all $r \in A$.

Write $\sigma(r) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11}(r) & \sigma_{12}(r) \\ \sigma_{21}(r) & \sigma_{22}(r) \end{pmatrix}$ and $\delta(r) = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_1(r) \\ \delta_2(r) \end{pmatrix}$. Then σ is a k-linear map from A to $M_2(A)$ where $M_2(A)$ is the 2×2-matrix algebra over A; and δ is a k-linear map from A to the column A-module $A^{\oplus 2} := \begin{pmatrix} A \\ A \end{pmatrix}$. The equation (R2) can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} r = \sigma(r) \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} + \delta(r).$$

This equation is a generalization of (E1.1.1). Suppose $\sigma : A \to M_2(A)$ is an algebra homomorphism, namely, $\sigma(rs) = \sigma(r)\sigma(s)$ for all $r, s \in A$. A k-linear map $\delta : A \to A^{\oplus 2}$ is called a *σ-derivation* if

$$\delta(rs) = \sigma(r)\delta(s) + \delta(r)s$$

for all $r, s \in A$.

Convention 1.6. (a) By (R2), σ and δ are uniquely determined and σ is a k-linear map from A to $M_2(A)$ and δ is a k-linear map from A to $A^{\oplus 2}$. Together with Definition 1.3(a), all symbols of $\{P, \sigma, \delta, \tau\}$ are defined now. When everything is understood, a right double extension or a double extension B is also denoted by $A_P[y_1, y_2; \sigma, \delta, \tau]$. By this notation, we are working with a right double extension though B can be also a (left) double extension.

(b) By the next lemma, when $B = A_P[y_1, y_2; \sigma, \delta, \tau]$ is a right double extension, then σ is an algebra homomorphism and δ a σ-derivation. Recall from Definition 1.3(a) P is called a *parameter* and τ is called a *tail*. We now call σ a *homomorphism*, δ a *derivation* and the collection $\{P, \sigma, \delta, \tau\}$ *DE-data* of A.

(c) If δ is a zero map and τ consists of zero elements, then the right double extension is denoted by $A_P[y_1, y_2; \sigma]$. We call $A_P[y_1, y_2; \sigma]$ a *trimmed (right) double extension.*

Lemma 1.7. *Let $B = A_P[y_1, y_2; \sigma, \delta, \tau]$ be a right double extension of A. Suppose that $\{\sigma, \delta\}$ are defined by* (R2)*. Then the following hold.*

(a) *σ is an algebra homomorphism $A \to M_2(A)$.*

(b) *$\delta : A \to A^{\oplus 2}$ is a σ-derivation.*

(c) *If $\sigma : A \to M_2(A)$ is an algebra homomorphism and $\delta : A \to A^{\oplus 2}$ is a σ-derivation, then* (R2) *holds for all elements $r \in A$ if and only if it holds for a set of generators.*

Proof. (a,b) By (R2) we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} (rs) = \sigma(rs) \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} + \delta(rs).$$

Using associativity we can also express $\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} (rs)$ as

$$(\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} r)s = (\sigma(r) \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} + \delta(r))s = \sigma(r)\sigma(s) \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} + (\sigma(r)\delta(s) + \delta(r)s).$$

By Definition 1.3(aiii) $Ay_1 + Ay_2 + A$ is a free module $Ay_1 \oplus Ay_2 \oplus A$ with a basis $\{y_1, y_2, 1\}$. The assertions follow by comparing the coefficients in two different expressions of $\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} (rs)$.

(c) Suppose (R2) holds for a set of generators of A. Let S be the set of elements in A such that (R2) holds. Then S is a k-linear subspace of A closed under multiplication by the facts that σ is an algebra homomorphism and that δ is a σ-derivation. So $S = A$ since S contains a set of generators of A. □

A trivial example of a (right) double extension is when $A = k$. A right double extension of k, denoted by B, is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{n_1,n_2\geq 0} k y_1^{n_1} y_2^{n_2}$ as a k-vector space. It is isomorphic to the algebra $k\langle y_1, y_2\rangle/(r)$ where r is the relation

$$y_2 y_1 = p_{12} y_1 y_2 + p_{11} y_1^2 + a_1 y_1 + a_2 y_2 + a_3$$

for some $p_{12}, p_{11}, a_1, a_2, a_3 \in k$. We can easily find out the associated DE-data. The homomorphism σ is the "identity" $\begin{pmatrix} Id_k & 0 \\ 0 & Id_k \end{pmatrix}$; the derivation δ is zero; the parameter P is $\{p_{12}, p_{11}\}$; the tail τ is $\{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$. If $p_{12} \neq 0$, B is a double extension. If $p_{12} = 0$, then B is not a double extension since B is not a left double extension with respect to the generating set $\{y_1, y_2\}$. However, B is a left double extension with respect to a different generating set $\{y_1', y_2'\} = \{y_2 - p_{11} y_1, y_1\}$. Some non-trivial examples are given in Section 4.

Another way of writing (R2) is the following. Let $y_0 = 1$ and $\sigma_{00}(r) = r$ and $\sigma_{i0} = \delta_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then (R2) is equivalent to

$$\text{(R2')} \quad \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \\ y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} r = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{00}(r) & 0 & 0 \\ \sigma_{10}(r) & \sigma_{11}(r) & \sigma_{12}(r) \\ \sigma_{20}(r) & \sigma_{21}(r) & \sigma_{22}(r) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \\ y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \\ y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} r = \widehat{\sigma}(r) \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \\ y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\widehat{\sigma}(r) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{00}(r) & 0 & 0 \\ \sigma_{10}(r) & \sigma_{11}(r) & \sigma_{12}(r) \\ \sigma_{20}(r) & \sigma_{21}(r) & \sigma_{22}(r) \end{pmatrix}$. Similar to Lemma 1.7 one can easily show that $\widehat{\sigma} : A \to M_3(A)$ is an algebra homomorphism.

The homomorphism σ is not surjective, so it can not be invertible in the usually sense. Recall in the classical Ore extension case that σ is invertible if and only if (E1.1.1) can be written as

$$xa = \sigma'(a)x + \delta'(a)$$

for all $a \in A$; and σ' is the inverse of σ. We use this idea to define invertibility of σ in the right double extension case.

Definition 1.8. Let $\sigma : A \to M_2(A)$ be an algebra homomorphism. We say σ is *invertible* if there is an algebra homomorphism $\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{11} & \phi_{12} \\ \phi_{21} & \phi_{22} \end{pmatrix} : A \to M_2(A)$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{2} \phi_{jk}(\sigma_{ik}(r)) = \begin{cases} r & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{2} \sigma_{kj}(\phi_{ki}(r)) = \begin{cases} r & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$$

for all $r \in A$; or equivalently,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi_{11} & \phi_{12} \\ \phi_{21} & \phi_{22} \end{pmatrix} \bullet \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{21} \\ \sigma_{12} & \sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{21} \\ \sigma_{12} & \sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix} \bullet \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{11} & \phi_{12} \\ \phi_{21} & \phi_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Id_A & 0 \\ 0 & Id_A \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\bullet$ is the multiplication of the matrix algebra $M_2(\mathrm{End}_k(A))$. The multiplication of $\mathrm{End}_k(A)$ is the composition of k-linear maps. The map ϕ is called the *inverse* of σ.

Lemma 1.9. *Let $B = A_P[y_1, y_2; \sigma, \delta, \tau]$ be a right double extension. Then σ is invertible if and only if $Ay_1 + Ay_2 + A = y_1A + y_2A + A$ and it is a free A-module with basis $\{1, y_1, y_2\}$ on both sides.*

As a consequence, if B is a double extension, then σ is invertible.

Proof. Let $\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{11} & \phi_{12} \\ \phi_{21} & \phi_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ be the inverse of σ defined in Definition 1.8. Then it follows from (R2) and the definition of ϕ that, for every $r \in A$,

$$(y_1, y_2)\begin{pmatrix} \phi_{11}(r) & \phi_{12}(r) \\ \phi_{21}(r) & \phi_{22}(r) \end{pmatrix} = (ry_1, ry_2) - \begin{pmatrix} \delta'_1(r), & \delta'_2(r) \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$\delta'_1(r) = -\delta_1(\phi_{11}(r)) - \delta_2(\phi_{21}(r))$$
$$\delta'_2(r) = -\delta_1(\phi_{12}(r)) - \delta_2(\phi_{22}(r)).$$

Or, after rearranging the terms,

$$r(y_1, y_2) = (y_1, y_2)\begin{pmatrix} \phi_{11}(r) & \phi_{12}(r) \\ \phi_{21}(r) & \phi_{22}(r) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \delta'_1(r), & \delta'_2(r) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{E1.9.1}$$

By using (E1.9.1), we see that $Ay_1 + Ay_2 + A \subseteq y_1A + y_2A + A$ and by (R2), we have $y_1A + y_2A + A \subseteq Ay_1 + Ay_2 + A$. Thus $Ay_1 + Ay_2 + A = y_1A + y_2A + A$. Following by the definition of right double extension, $Ay_1 + Ay_2 + A$ is a free left A-module with basis $\{1, y_1, y_2\}$, whence it is of rank 3. It remains to show that $y_1A + y_2A + A$ is a free right A-module with basis $\{1, y_1, y_2\}$. Suppose on the contrary that $\{1, y_1, y_2\}$ is not an A-basis. Then there are elements $\{a, b, c\}$ of A, not all zero, such that $y_1a + y_2b + c = 0$. By using (R2), we have

$$y_1a + y_2b + c = [\sigma_{11}(a) + \sigma_{21}(b)]y_1 + [\sigma_{12}(a) + \sigma_{22}(b)]y_2 + [\delta_1(a) + \delta_2(b) + c].$$

Since $Ay_1 + Ay_2 + A$ is free, we have

$$\sigma_{11}(a) + \sigma_{21}(b) = \sigma_{12}(a) + \sigma_{22}(b) = \delta_1(a) + \delta_2(b) + c = 0.$$

By the definition of ϕ, we have

$$0 = \sum_{k=1}^{2} \phi_{1k}(\sigma_{2k}(b)) = \sum_{k=1}^{2} \phi_{1k}(-\sigma_{1k}(a)) = -a$$

and

$$0 = \sum_{k=1}^{2} \phi_{2k}(\sigma_{1k}(a)) = \sum_{k=1}^{2} \phi_{2k}(-\sigma_{2k}(b)) = -b.$$

Since $a = b = 0$, we also have $c = y_1a + y_2b + c = 0$. This yields a contradiction. Therefore $y_1A + y_2A + A$ is a free right A-module with basis $\{1, y_1, y_2\}$.

For the converse implication we note that every element ry_i can be expressed uniquely as $y_1\phi_{1i}(r) + y_2\phi_{2i}(r) + \delta'_i(r)$ for some $\phi_{1i}(r), \phi_{2i}(r), \delta'_i(r)$ in A. Using this we can define ϕ. One can check that ϕ is the inverse of σ.

The consequence follows from the main assertion and Remark 1.4(e). □

As a consequence of Lemma 1.9 the invertibility of σ is independent of the choice of the DE-data $\{P, \sigma, \delta, \tau\}$.

We conjecture that if σ is invertible, then B is also a free right A-module with basis $\{y_1^{n_1} y_2^{n_2}\}_{n_1, n_2 \geq 0}$. If further $p_{12} \neq 0$, we conjecture that B is a double extension. This is true in the graded case (see Proposition 1.13).

Next we will list the relations that come from commuting $r \in A$ with (R1). The collection of the following six relations is called (R3) for short. Recall that $\sigma_{i0} = \delta_i$.

Relations (R3)

(R3.1)

$$\begin{aligned}&\sigma_{21}(\sigma_{11}(r)) + p_{11}\sigma_{22}(\sigma_{11}(r))\\ &\quad = p_{11}\sigma_{11}(\sigma_{11}(r)) + p_{11}^2\sigma_{12}(\sigma_{11}(r)) + p_{12}\sigma_{11}(\sigma_{21}(r)) + p_{11}p_{12}\sigma_{12}(\sigma_{21}(r))\end{aligned}$$

(R3.2)

$$\begin{aligned}&\sigma_{21}(\sigma_{12}(r)) + p_{12}\sigma_{22}(\sigma_{11}(r))\\ &\quad = p_{11}\sigma_{11}(\sigma_{12}(r)) + p_{11}p_{12}\sigma_{12}(\sigma_{11}(r)) + p_{12}\sigma_{11}(\sigma_{22}(r)) + p_{12}^2\sigma_{12}(\sigma_{21}(r))\end{aligned}$$

(R3.3)

$$\begin{aligned}&\sigma_{22}(\sigma_{12}(r))\\ &\quad = p_{11}\sigma_{12}(\sigma_{12}(r)) + p_{12}\sigma_{12}(\sigma_{22}(r))\end{aligned}$$

(R3.4)

$$\begin{aligned}&\sigma_{20}(\sigma_{11}(r)) + \sigma_{21}(\sigma_{10}(r)) + \tau_1\sigma_{22}(\sigma_{11}(r))\\ &\quad = p_{11}[\sigma_{10}(\sigma_{11}(r)) + \sigma_{11}(\sigma_{10}(r)) + \tau_1\sigma_{12}(\sigma_{11}(r))]\\ &\qquad + p_{12}[\sigma_{10}(\sigma_{21}(t)) + \sigma_{11}(\sigma_{20}(r)) + \tau_1\sigma_{12}(\sigma_{21}(r))] + \tau_1\sigma_{11}(r) + \tau_2\sigma_{21}(r)\end{aligned}$$

(R3.5)

$$\begin{aligned}&\sigma_{20}(\sigma_{12}(r)) + \sigma_{22}(\sigma_{10}(r)) + \tau_2\sigma_{22}(\sigma_{11}(r))\\ &\quad = p_{11}[\sigma_{10}(\sigma_{12}(r)) + \sigma_{12}(\sigma_{10}(r)) + \tau_2\sigma_{12}(\sigma_{11}(r))]\\ &\qquad + p_{12}[\sigma_{10}(\sigma_{22}(r)) + \sigma_{12}(\sigma_{20}(r)) + \tau_2\sigma_{12}(\sigma_{21}(r))] + \tau_1\sigma_{12}(r) + \tau_2\sigma_{22}(r)\end{aligned}$$

(R3.6)

$$\begin{aligned}&\sigma_{20}(\sigma_{10}(r)) + \tau_0\sigma_{22}(\sigma_{11}(r))\\ &\quad = p_{11}[\sigma_{10}(\sigma_{10}(r)) + \tau_0\sigma_{12}(\sigma_{11}(r))]\\ &\qquad + p_{12}[\sigma_{10}(\sigma_{20}(r)) + \tau_0\sigma_{12}(\sigma_{21}(r))] + \tau_1\sigma_{10}(r) + \tau_2\sigma_{20}(r) + \tau_0 r.\end{aligned}$$

Note that the relations in (R3) are constraints between P, σ, δ, and τ. In other words, to form a right double extension, the DE-data $\{P, \sigma, \delta, \tau\}$ must satisfies (R3) (as we know by Lemma 1.7 that σ must be an algebra homomorphism and that δ must be a σ-derivation).

By using (R2) we can express an element of the form y_iy_jr as a sum of the forms $r'y_{i'}y_{j'}$ and $r''y_{i''}$. Combining with relation (R1), there are two different ways of expressing y_2y_1r. One way is first to write y_2y_1 as sum of other elements by (R1), then to use (R2). This means we resolve (y_2y_1) first in y_2y_1r. The other way is to use (R2) to move y_1 and then y_2 to the right-hand side of r, then to simplify the expression by using all possible relations including (R2). In other words, we resolve the part (y_1r) first in y_2y_1r. The process of writing y_iy_jr as a sum of the forms $r'y_{i'}y_{j'}$ and $r''y_{i''}$ is called *resolving*. In general if r, y_1, y_2 are elements in a ring, two different ways of resolving y_2y_1r could get two different expressions.

Lemma 1.10. *Assume* (R2') and (R1) *and* $\sigma_{i0} = \delta_i$ *for* $i = 1, 2$.

(a) *If we first move* y_j *from the left-hand side of* r *to the right-hand side of* r *and then move* y_i*, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} y_i(y_jr) =& [\sigma_{i1}(\sigma_{j1}(r)) + p_{11}\sigma_{i2}(\sigma_{j1}(r))]y_1^2 \\ &+ \sigma_{i2}(\sigma_{j2}(r))y_2^2 \\ &+ [\sigma_{i1}(\sigma_{j2}(r)) + p_{12}\sigma_{i2}(\sigma_{j1}(r))]y_1y_2 \\ &+ [\sigma_{i0}(\sigma_{j1}(r)) + \sigma_{i1}(\sigma_{j0}(r)) + \tau_1\sigma_{i2}(\sigma_{j1}(r))]y_1 \\ &+ [\sigma_{i0}(\sigma_{j2}(r)) + \sigma_{i2}(\sigma_{j0}(r)) + \tau_2\sigma_{i2}(\sigma_{j1}(r))]y_2 \\ &+ [\sigma_{i0}(\sigma_{j0}(r)) + \tau_0\sigma_{i2}(\sigma_{j1}(r))]. \end{aligned}$$

(b) *Suppose* $B = A_P[y_1, y_2; \sigma, \delta, \tau]$ *is a right double extension. Then six relations* (R3.1)-(R3.6) *hold for the DE-data.*

(c) *The six relations in* (R3) *hold if and only if the resulting elements of* y_2y_1r *obtained from resolving* $(y_2y_1)r$ *and from resolving* $y_2(y_1r)$ *are the same.*

(d) *If* $\delta = 0$ *and* $\tau = 0$*, then the relations* (R3.4)-(R3.6) *become trivial and the relation* (R3.1)-(R3.3) *remain unchanged.*

Proof. The proof is based on direct computations.

(a) We use the relation (R2):

$$y_jr = \sigma_{j1}(r)y_1 + \sigma_{j2}(r)y_2 + \sigma_{j0}(r)$$

for $j = 1, 2$ and all $r \in A$. Then

$$y_i(y_jr) = (y_i\sigma_{j1}(r))y_1 + (y_i\sigma_{j2}(r))y_2 + (y_i\sigma_{j0}(r)). \tag{E1.10.1}$$

For each $y_i\sigma_{js}(r)$ we use relation (R2) to have

$$y_i\sigma_{js}(r) = \sigma_{i1}(\sigma_{js}(r))y_1 + \sigma_{i2}(\sigma_{js}(r))y_2 + \sigma_{i0}(\sigma_{js}(r)).$$

Input this formula for $s = 1, 2$ and 0 into equation (E1.10.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} y_i(y_jr) =& [\sigma_{i1}(\sigma_{j1}(r))y_1 + \sigma_{i2}(\sigma_{j1}(r))y_2 + \sigma_{i0}(\sigma_{j1}(r))]y_1 \\ &+ [\sigma_{i1}(\sigma_{j2}(r))y_1 + \sigma_{i2}(\sigma_{j2}(r))y_2 + \sigma_{i0}(\sigma_{j2}(r))]y_2 \\ &+ [\sigma_{i1}(\sigma_{j0}(r))y_1 + \sigma_{i2}(\sigma_{j0}(r))y_2 + \sigma_{i0}(\sigma_{j0}(r))] \end{aligned}$$

Then simplify this expression and use the relation (R1) to obtain the desired formula.

(b,c) By associativity $(y_2y_1)r = y_2(y_1r)$. Hence there are two ways to resolve y_2y_1r into a linear combination of lower terms if we define $\deg y_2 > \deg y_1 > \deg r$ for all $r \in A$. The first way is to use (R1) to commute y_2 with y_1 then use (R2). The second way is to use (R2) to commute y_1 with r first.

Starting with the relation (R1):

$$y_2y_1 = p_{12}y_1y_2 + p_{11}y_1^2 + \tau_1y_1 + \tau_2y_2 + \tau_0 := T$$

and for every $r \in A$, we have $(y_2y_1)r = Tr$ and use the formula proved in part (a) to move r from right to left by "commuting" r with y_iy_j and y_i. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} Tr &= (p_{12}y_1y_2 + p_{11}y_1^2 + \tau_1y_1 + \tau_2y_2 + \tau_0)r \\ &= p_{12}(y_1y_2r) + p_{11}(y_1^2r) + \tau_1(y_1r) + \tau_2(y_2r) + \tau_0r \\ &= p_{12}\{[\sigma_{11}(\sigma_{21}(r)) + p_{11}\sigma_{12}(\sigma_{21}(r))]y_1^2 \\ &\qquad + \sigma_{12}(\sigma_{22}(r))y_2^2 \\ &\qquad + [\sigma_{11}(\sigma_{22}(r)) + p_{12}\sigma_{12}(\sigma_{21}(r))]y_1y_2 \\ &\qquad + [\sigma_{10}(\sigma_{21}(r)) + \sigma_{11}(\sigma_{20}(r)) + \tau_1\sigma_{12}(\sigma_{21}(r))]y_1 \\ &\qquad + [\sigma_{10}(\sigma_{22}(r)) + \sigma_{12}(\sigma_{20}(r)) + \tau_2\sigma_{12}(\sigma_{21}(r))]y_2 \\ &\qquad + [\sigma_{10}(\sigma_{20}(r)) + \tau_0\sigma_{12}(\sigma_{21}(r))]\} \\ &+ p_{11}\{[\sigma_{11}(\sigma_{11}(r)) + p_{11}\sigma_{12}(\sigma_{11}(r))]y_1^2 \\ &\qquad + \sigma_{12}(\sigma_{12}(r))y_2^2 \\ &\qquad + [\sigma_{11}(\sigma_{12}(r)) + p_{12}\sigma_{12}(\sigma_{11}(r))]y_1y_2 \\ &\qquad + [\sigma_{10}(\sigma_{11}(r)) + \sigma_{11}(\sigma_{10}(r)) + \tau_1\sigma_{12}(\sigma_{11}(r))]y_1 \\ &\qquad + [\sigma_{10}(\sigma_{12}(r)) + \sigma_{12}(\sigma_{10}(r)) + \tau_2\sigma_{12}(\sigma_{11}(r))]y_2 \\ &\qquad + [\sigma_{10}(\sigma_{10}(r)) + \tau_0\sigma_{12}(\sigma_{11}(r))]\} \\ &+ \tau_1(\sigma_{11}(r)y_1 + \sigma_{12}(r)y_2 + \sigma_{10}(r)) \\ &+ \tau_2(\sigma_{21}(r)y_1 + \sigma_{22}(r)y_2 + \sigma_{20}(r)) \\ &+ \tau_0r. \end{aligned} \tag{E1.10.2}$$

If using the second way by working out y_1r first, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} y_2(y_1r) =& [\sigma_{21}(\sigma_{11}(r)) + p_{11}\sigma_{22}(\sigma_{11}(r))]y_1^2 \\ &+ \sigma_{22}(\sigma_{12}(r))y_2^2 \\ &+ [\sigma_{21}(\sigma_{12}(r)) + p_{12}\sigma_{22}(\sigma_{11}(r))]y_1y_2 \\ &+ [\sigma_{20}(\sigma_{11}(r)) + \sigma_{21}(\sigma_{10}(r)) + \tau_1\sigma_{22}(\sigma_{11}(r))]y_1 \\ &+ [\sigma_{20}(\sigma_{12}(r)) + \sigma_{22}(\sigma_{10}(r)) + \tau_2\sigma_{22}(\sigma_{11}(r))]y_2 \\ &+ [\sigma_{20}(\sigma_{10}(r)) + \tau_0\sigma_{22}(\sigma_{11}(r))]. \end{aligned} \tag{E1.10.3}$$

Comparing the coefficients of $y_1^2, y_1y_2, y_2^2, y_1, y_2$ and 1 respectively in equations (E1.10.2) and (E1.10.3), one sees the six relations (R3.1-R3.6). If B is a right double extension, then B is a free left A-module with a basis $\{y_1^{n_1}y_2^{n_2}\}_{n_1,n_2\geq 0}$. Thus (R3.1-R3.6) hold and assertion (b) follows.

If six relations (R3.1-R3.6) holds, then two ways of resolving y_2y_1r into two elements in $\sum_{n_1,n_2} Ay_1^{n_1}y_2^{n_2}$ are the same. Thus the six relations (R3.1-R3.6) hold if and only if the right-hand sides of (E1.10.2) and (E1.10.3) are the same. Assertion (c) follows.

(d) Straightforward. □

Proposition 1.11. *Suppose $\{P, \sigma, \delta, \tau\}$ be DE-data such that $\sigma : A \to M_2(A)$ is a homomorphism and $\delta : A \to A^{\oplus 2}$ is a σ-derivation and that $P = \{p_{12}, p_{11}\}$ and*

$\tau = \{\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_0\}$. *Assume* (R3) *holds for all* $r \in X$ *where* X *is a set of generators of* A. *Let* C *be the algebra generated by* A *and* y_1, y_2 *subject to the relations* (R1) *and* (R2) *for generators* $r \in X$. *Then* C *is a right double extension of* A. *As a consequence,* C *is a left free* A*-module with basis* $\{y_1^{n_1} y_2^{n_2} \mid n_1, n_2 \geq 0\}$.

Remark 1.12. Assume that the relations (R3) hold for a set of generators of A. As a consequence of Proposition 1.11, one can form a right double extension C and hence (R3) holds for all $r \in A$. This fact is not easy to verify by a direct computation.

Proof of Proposition 1.11. Let $X := \{x_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{O}}$ be a set of generators of A where $\mathcal{O}$ is the index set of consisting the first $|X|$-ordinal numbers. We write $A = k\langle X \rangle / I_A$ for some ideal I_A of the free algebra $k\langle X \rangle$. Further we assume that elements in $X \cup \{1\}$ are linearly independent. We fix a semigroup total ordering $\leq$ on the monomial set $\langle X \rangle$ generated by X as follows. Let $H = x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_m}$ and $G = x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_n}$ be two monomials. We write $H < G$ if either $m < n$ or $m = n$ and there is an $s \leq n$ such that $i_s < j_s$ and $i_t = j_t$ for all $t > s$. In particular, we have

$$1 < x_1 < x_2 < \cdots .$$

Since $\mathcal{O}$ is well-ordered, $\leq$ satisfies the descending chain condition.

By Bergman's diamond lemma [Be, Section 1], there is a reduction system S associated to I_A, which is a set of relations $\{f_n\}$, such that all ambiguity between f_n's can be resolved. Each relation f_n is of the form

$$f_n^l - H_n = 0$$

where the leading term f_n^l is a monomial and H_n is a k-linear combination of monomials of lower order and not appeared as the leading term of other relations in the reduction system S. Let $Basis_A$ be the set of monomials that do not contain f_n^l as a submonomial for all $f_n \in S$ (in [Be] $Basis_A$ is denoted by $k\langle X \rangle_{irr}$). By [Be, Theorem 1.2], $Basis_A$ is a k-linear basis of A.

Now we define a total ordering $\leq$ on the set of monomials $\langle X \cup \{y_1, y_2\} \rangle$ generated by the set of generators $X \cup \{y_1, y_2\}$ of C. Let $I = F_m y_{i_m} F_{m-1} y_{i_{m-1}} \cdots F_1 y_{i_1} F_0$ and $J = G_n y_{j_n} G_{n-1} y_{j_{n-1}} \cdots G_1 y_{j_1} G_0$ be two monomials where F_s and G_t are monomials in $\langle X \rangle$ for all s and t. We write $I < J$ if either

(a) $m < n$, or
(b) $m = n$ and there is an s such that $F_t = G_t$ and $y_{i_t} = y_{j_t}$ for all $t < s$ and $y_{i_s} < y_{j_s}$, or
(c) $m = n$ and there is an s such that $F_{t-1} = G_{t-1}$ and $y_{i_t} = y_{j_t}$ for all $t < s$ and $F_{s-1} < G_{s-1}$.

This defines a total ordering on the monomial set $\langle X \cup \{y_1, y_2\} \rangle$ which extends the ordering on $\langle X \rangle$; and this ordering is preserved by the semigroup multiplication and satisfies the descending chain condition. Let T be the reduction system of $k\langle X \cup \{y_1, y_2\} \rangle$ consisting S, (R1) and (R2)(X) where (R2)(X) denotes the set of relations of form (R2) for all r in the generating set X. By definition, every element in T is a relation of the algebra C when identifying $C = k\langle X \cup \{y_1, y_2\} \rangle / I_C$. By Lemma 1.7(c), (R2) holds for all $r \in A$ if and only if (R2)(X) holds. We claim that all ambiguities of T are resolvable in the sense of [Be]. All ambiguities of S are resolvable by the choice of S. So we only need to consider ambiguities of the relations in T which involving y_i. First we consider an ambiguity involving (R2)(X) and S. Namely, we pick a relation from (R2)(X), say

$$y_i x_j = \sigma_{i1}(x_j)y_1 + \sigma_{i2}(x_j)y_2 + \sigma_{i0}(x_j)$$

for i being either 1 or 2 and for some j; and pick another relation from S, say

$$F = G$$

where $F = x_j f$ and where G is a linear combination of monomials lower than F. We want to show the following: two different ways of reducing $y_i F$ (starting from $(y_i x_j)f$ or from $y_i(x_j f)$) have the same result. We need to use the fact $\widehat{\sigma}$ is an algebra homomorphism and induction on the order of F. Again let $F = x_j f$. Since the degree of f is less than the degree of F, by induction on the degree of F, there is a unique way of expressing $y_s f$ that is

$$y_s f = \sigma_{s1}(f)y_1 + \sigma_{s2}(f)y_2 + \sigma_{s0}(f).$$

So the first way of reducing $y_i F$ is

$$\begin{aligned}(y_i x_j)f &= (\sigma_{i1}(x_j)y_1 + \sigma_{i2}(x_j)y_2 + \sigma_{i0}(x_j))f \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{2} \sigma_{is}(x_j)(\sum_{k=0}^{2} \sigma_{sk}(f)y_k) \\ &= \sum_{k,s=0}^{2} \sigma_{is}(x_j)\sigma_{sk}(f)y_k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{2} \sigma_{ik}(x_j f)y_k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{2} \sigma_{ik}(F)y_k.\end{aligned}$$

The second way of reducing $y_i F$ is

$$y_i F = y_i G = \sigma_{i1}(G)y_1 + \sigma_{i2}(G)y_2 + \sigma_{i0}(G) = \sum_{k=0}^{2} \sigma_{ik}(F)y_k$$

where the first equality follows from $F = G$ where G is a linear combination of lower monomials than F and where the last equality holds because $\widehat{\sigma}(F) = \widehat{\sigma}(G)$ in A. So we proved our claim. In particular, the ambiguity of $y_i x_j f$ can be resolved. There is another kind of ambiguities we need to resolve, namely, $y_2 y_1 x_j$ for all j. By hypothesis, (R3)(X) holds where (R3)(X) denotes the set of relations of the form (R3.1-6) when applying to r in the generating set X. By Lemma 1.10(c), these ambiguities can be resolved. So we have proved that all ambiguities between elements in T can be resolved.

Let $Basis_C$ be the set of monomials that do not contain any submonomial that is a leading term of elements in T. By Bergman's diamond lemma [Be, Theorem 1.2], $Basis_C$ is a k-linear basis of C. The main assertion in Proposition 1.11 follows if the following claim holds: $Basis_C$ is equal to the set $B' = \bigcup_{n_1,n_2 \geq 0} Basis_A \cdot y_1^{n_1} y_2^{n_2}$. We show this in the next paragraph.

Recall that T consists of (R1), (R2)(X) and S where S is a reduction system of A. Let $f \in Basis_A$, namely, f does not contain any submonomial that is a leading term of the elements in S. Since the leading terms of (R1) and (R2)(X) are $y_2 y_1$ and $y_i x_j$, $f y_1^{n_1} y_2^{n_2}$ does not contain any submonomial that is a leading term of the elements in T. This shows that B' is a subset of $Basis_C$. Conversely, let $F = F_m y_{i_m} F_{m-1} \cdots F_1 y_{i_1}$ be a monomial that does not contain any submonomial that is a leading term of T where $F_i \in \langle X \rangle$. Since F does not contain $y_i x_j$ (see the relation (R2)(X)), all $F_i = 1$ for all $i < m$. Thus $F = F_m y_1^{s_1} y_2^{t_1} \cdots y_1^{s_p} y_2^{t_p}$. Since F does not contain $y_2 y_1$ (see the relation (R1)), $F = F_m y_1^s y_2^t$. Finally $F_m \in Basis_A$. Therefore the claim is proved. □

In some cases a right double extension is automatically a left double extension. Here is an example.

Proposition 1.13. *Let A be a connected graded algebra and $B = A_P[y_1, y_2; \sigma, \delta, \tau]$ be a connected graded right double extension. Suppose that $p_{12} \neq 0$ and σ is invertible. Then B is a double extension.*

Proof. Since σ is invertible with inverse ϕ, we have (E1.9.1)

$$r(y_1, y_2) = (y_1, y_2) \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{11}(r) & \phi_{12}(r) \\ \phi_{21}(r) & \phi_{22}(r) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \delta'_1(r) \\ \delta'_2(r) \end{pmatrix}$$

for some δ'_1 and δ'_2. (E1.9.1) implies that $Ay_1 + Ay_2 \subset y_1 A + y_2 A + A$. Hence Definition 1.3(biv) holds.

Since $p_{12} \neq 0$, (R1) is equivalent to

$$y_1 y_2 = p_{12}^{-1} y_2 y_1 + (-p_{12} p_{11}) y_1^2 + y_1 \tau'_1 + y_2 \tau'_2 + \tau'_0 \tag{E1.13.1}$$

for some $\{\tau'_1, \tau'_2, \tau'_0\}$. Hence Definition 1.3(bii) (namely, (L1)) holds. Definition 1.3(bi) is automatic.

It remains to show Definition 1.3(biii), namely, B is a right free A-module with a basis $\{y_2^{n_1} y_1^{n_2}\}_{n_1, n_2 \geq 0}$. Since B is a right double extension, it is a free left A-module with a basis $\{y_1^{n_1} y_2^{n_2}\}_{n_1, n_2 \geq 0}$. Hence the Hilbert series of B is equal to the Hilbert series of $A \otimes k[y_1, y_2]$, or

$$H_B(t) = H_A(t) \frac{1}{(1 - t^{\deg y_1})(1 - t^{\deg y_2})}. \tag{E1.13.2}$$

By using (E1.9.1) and (E1.13.1) we have $B = \sum_{n_1, n_2} y_2^{n_1} y_1^{n_2} A$. Since the Hilbert series of B is equal to the Hilbert series of $k[y_1, y_2] \otimes A$, B is a free right A-module with basis $\{y_2^{n_1} y_1^{n_2}\}_{n_1, n_2 \geq 0}$. □

We hope that Proposition 1.13 holds for general non-graded double extensions, but this has not been proven yet.

Finally we like to remark that the condition $p_{12} \neq 0$ is natural if we study noetherian algebras.

Proposition 1.14. *Let $B = A_P[y_1, y_2; \sigma, \delta, \tau]$ be a connected graded right double extension such that either $\deg y_1 = \deg y_2 > 0$ or $\sigma(A_{\geq 1}) \subset M_2(A_{\geq 1})$.*

(a) *$B/(A_{\geq 1})$ is isomorphic to $k\langle y_1, y_2 \rangle / (y_2 y_1 - p_{12} y_1 y_2 - p_{11} y_1^2)$.*
(b) *If B is left or right noetherian, then $p_{12} \neq 0$.*

Proof. If $\deg y_1 = \deg y_2 > 0$, then by (R2) $\sigma(A_{\geq 1}) \subset M_2(A_{\geq 1})$. For the rest of the proof we assume that $\sigma(A_{\geq 1}) \subset M_2(A_{\geq 1})$.

(a) By definition, $B = \bigoplus_{n_1, n_2 \geq 0} A y_1^{n_1} y_2^{n_2}$ is a free left A-module. Let I be the left A-module $\bigoplus_{n_1, n_2 \geq 0} A_{\geq 1} y_1^{n_1} y_2^{n_2}$, which is equal to the right ideal $A_{\geq 1} B$. Then B/I is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{n_1, n_2 \geq 0} k y_1^{n_1} y_2^{n_2}$ as graded vector space. We claim that I is a two-sided ideal of B. Clearly $AI \subset I$. By (R2) and the hypothesis of

$\sigma(A_{\geq 1}) \subset M_2(A_{\geq 1})$, $y_i A_{\geq 1} \subset A_{\geq 1}y_1 + A_{\geq 1}y_2 + A_{\geq 1}$. Since B is generated by A and y_1, y_2, I is a left and hence two-sided ideal of B. Further I is the two-sided ideal of B generated by $A_{\geq 1}$. The factor ring B/I is generated by y_1 and y_2 subject to one relation $y_2y_1 = p_{12}y_1y_2 + p_{11}y_1^2$.

(b) If $p_{12} = 0$, then B/I is isomorphic to $k\langle y_1, y_2\rangle/((y_2 - p_{11}y_1)y_1)$ which is neither left nor right noetherian. This yields a contradiction because B is left or right noetherian. Therefore $p_{12} \neq 0$. □

The condition $p_{12} \neq 0$ is also related to the Artin-Schelter regularity, see [ZZ, Section 2]. We will further discuss some homological properties of double extensions in Section 3.

2. Determinant of σ

In this section we study an invariant of σ, called the determinant of σ, which is somewhat related to the determinant of the quantum 2×2 matrix. The property of the determinant of σ will be used to prove the regularity of double extensions in the next section.

As before we write σ as a matrix form $\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} \\ \sigma_{21} & \sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix}$. It is natural to ask if there is any kind of determinant of this "matrix" σ. Suppose $B = A_P[y_1, y_2; \sigma, \delta, \tau]$ is a right double extension. We define the *P-determinant* of σ or just *determinant* of σ to be the map

$$\det \sigma : r \mapsto -p_{11}\sigma_{12}(\sigma_{11}(r)) + \sigma_{22}(\sigma_{11}(r)) - p_{12}\sigma_{12}(\sigma_{21}(r))$$

for all $r \in A$. This is a k-linear map from A to itself. In the classical case of $P = (1, 0)$, we can write

$$\det \sigma = \sigma_{22} \circ \sigma_{11} - \sigma_{12} \circ \sigma_{21}.$$

If $p_{12} \neq 0$, then by (R3.2) we obtain that

$$\det \sigma = -p_{12}^{-1}p_{11}\sigma_{11} \circ \sigma_{12} - p_{12}^{-1}\sigma_{21} \circ \sigma_{12} + \sigma_{11} \circ \sigma_{22},$$

which implies that, if $P = (1, 0)$,

$$\det \sigma = \sigma_{11} \circ \sigma_{22} - \sigma_{21} \circ \sigma_{12}.$$

However, since $f \circ g \neq g \circ f$ generally in $\mathrm{End}_k(A)$, when $P = (p, 0)$,

$$\det \sigma \neq \sigma_{22} \circ \sigma_{11} - p\sigma_{21} \circ \sigma_{12}, \quad \det \sigma \neq \sigma_{11} \circ \sigma_{22} - p^{-1}\sigma_{12} \circ \sigma_{21}.$$

See Proposition 4.6(c) for an example.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $A_P[y_1, y_2; \sigma, \delta, \tau]$ be a right double extension.*

(a) $\det \sigma$ *is an algebra endomorphism of A.*
(b) *If σ is invertible, then* $\det \sigma$ *is invertible.*
(c) *Suppose $p_{12} \neq 0$. If* $\det \sigma$ *is invertible, then σ has a right inverse. If further B is a connected graded right double extension, then σ is invertible and B is a double extension.*

Proof. First of all we may assume that $\delta = 0$ and $\tau = 0$ since the assertions are not related to δ and τ. Secondly, by Remark 1.4(b), we may further assume that P is either $(0, 0)$, or $(p, 0)$, or $(1, 1)$ (which is in fact not essential). It turns out that the the proof is easiest when $P = (0, 0)$ and that the proof is most tedious when

$P=(1,1)$. So we only give all details in the intermediate case when $P=(p,0)$ and $p\neq 0$. In this case relations (R3.1), (R3.2) and (R3.3) become

$$\sigma_{21}\circ\sigma_{11}=p\sigma_{11}\circ\sigma_{21} \tag{E2.1.1}$$

$$\sigma_{21}\circ\sigma_{12}=-p\sigma_{22}\circ\sigma_{11}+p\sigma_{11}\circ\sigma_{22}+p^2\sigma_{12}\circ\sigma_{21} \tag{E2.1.2}$$

$$\sigma_{22}\circ\sigma_{12}=p\sigma_{12}\circ\sigma_{22}. \tag{E2.1.3}$$

(a) The following computation uses (E2.1.1)-(E2.1.3).

$$\begin{aligned}
\det\sigma(r\cdot a) &= [\sigma_{11}\sigma_{22}-p^{-1}\sigma_{21}\sigma_{12}](ra)\\
&= \sigma_{11}[\sigma_{21}(r)\sigma_{12}(a)+\sigma_{22}(r)\sigma_{22}(a)]\\
&\quad -p^{-1}\sigma_{21}[\sigma_{11}(r)\sigma_{12}(a)+\sigma_{12}(r)\sigma_{22}(a)]\\
&= \sigma_{11}\sigma_{21}(r)\sigma_{11}\sigma_{12}(a)+\sigma_{12}\sigma_{21}(r)\sigma_{21}\sigma_{12}(a)\\
&\quad +\sigma_{11}\sigma_{22}(r)\sigma_{11}\sigma_{22}(a)+\sigma_{12}\sigma_{22}(r)\sigma_{21}\sigma_{22}(a)\\
&\quad -p^{-1}\sigma_{21}\sigma_{11}(r)\sigma_{11}\sigma_{12}(a)-p^{-1}\sigma_{22}\sigma_{11}(r)\sigma_{21}\sigma_{12}(a)\\
&\quad -p^{-1}\sigma_{21}\sigma_{12}(r)\sigma_{11}\sigma_{22}(a)-p^{-1}\sigma_{22}\sigma_{12}(r)\sigma_{21}\sigma_{22}(a)\\
&= \sigma_{12}\sigma_{21}(r)\sigma_{21}\sigma_{12}(a)\\
&\quad +\sigma_{11}\sigma_{22}(r)\sigma_{11}\sigma_{22}(a)\\
&\quad -p^{-1}\sigma_{22}\sigma_{11}(r)\sigma_{21}\sigma_{12}(a)\\
&\quad -p^{-1}\sigma_{21}\sigma_{12}(r)\sigma_{11}\sigma_{22}(a) \qquad\qquad \text{by (E2.1.1) and (E2.1.3)}\\
&= \sigma_{11}\sigma_{22}(r)\sigma_{11}\sigma_{22}(a)-p^{-1}\sigma_{21}\sigma_{12}(r)\sigma_{11}\sigma_{22}(a)\\
&\quad +\sigma_{12}\sigma_{21}(r)\sigma_{21}\sigma_{12}(a)-p^{-1}\sigma_{22}\sigma_{11}(r)\sigma_{21}\sigma_{12}(a)\\
&= \sigma_{11}\sigma_{22}(r)\sigma_{11}\sigma_{22}(a)-p^{-1}\sigma_{21}\sigma_{12}(r)\sigma_{11}\sigma_{22}(a)\\
&\quad +p^{-2}\sigma_{21}\sigma_{12}(r)\sigma_{21}\sigma_{12}(a)-p^{-1}\sigma_{11}\sigma_{22}(r)\sigma_{21}\sigma_{12}(a) \qquad \text{by (E2.1.2)}\\
&= [\sigma_{11}\sigma_{22}(r)-p^{-1}\sigma_{21}\sigma_{12}(r)][\sigma_{11}\sigma_{22}(a)-p^{-1}\sigma_{21}\sigma_{12}(a)]\\
&= \det\sigma(r)\det\sigma(a).
\end{aligned}$$

(b) Let ϕ be the inverse of σ as in the Definition 1.8. For this part we also need the relations (R3) for ϕ, which are listed below. (These can be obtained by going through the work in Section 1 for left double extensions).

$$\phi_{11}\circ\phi_{12}=p\phi_{12}\circ\phi_{11} \tag{E2.1.4}$$

$$\phi_{21}\circ\phi_{12}=-p\phi_{11}\circ\phi_{22}+p\phi_{22}\circ\phi_{11}+p^2\phi_{12}\circ\phi_{21} \tag{E2.1.5}$$

$$\phi_{21}\circ\phi_{22}=p\phi_{22}\circ\phi_{12}. \tag{E2.1.6}$$

The determinant of ϕ is

$$\det\phi=\phi_{11}\circ\phi_{22}-p\phi_{12}\circ\phi_{21}=\phi_{22}\circ\phi_{11}-p^{-1}\phi_{21}\circ\phi_{12}.$$

We claim that

$$\det\phi\circ\det\sigma=\det\phi\circ\det\sigma=Id_A.$$

We only prove that $\det\sigma\circ\det\phi=Id_A$ and the proof of $\det\phi\circ\det\sigma=Id_A$ is similar.

$$\begin{aligned}
\det\sigma\det\phi &= (\sigma_{22}\sigma_{11} - p\sigma_{12}\sigma_{21})\det\phi = \sigma_{22}\sigma_{11}\det\phi - p\sigma_{12}\sigma_{21}\det\phi \\
&= \sigma_{22}\sigma_{11}(\phi_{11}\phi_{22} - p\phi_{12}\phi_{21}) - p\sigma_{12}\sigma_{21}(\phi_{22}\phi_{11} - p^{-1}\phi_{21}\phi_{12}) \\
&= \sigma_{22}\sigma_{11}\phi_{11}\phi_{22} - p\sigma_{22}\sigma_{11}\phi_{12}\phi_{21} \\
&\quad - p\sigma_{12}\sigma_{21}\phi_{22}\phi_{11} + \sigma_{12}\sigma_{21}\phi_{21}\phi_{12} \\
&= \sigma_{22}[Id_A - \sigma_{21}\phi_{21}]\phi_{22} + p\sigma_{22}\sigma_{21}\phi_{22}\phi_{21} \\
&\quad p\sigma_{12}\sigma_{11}\phi_{12}\phi_{11} + \sigma_{12}[Id_A - \sigma_{11}\phi_{11}]\phi_{12} && \text{by Definition 1.8} \\
&= \sigma_{22}\phi_{22} - \sigma_{22}\sigma_{21}\phi_{21}\phi_{22} + \sigma_{22}\sigma_{21}\phi_{21}\phi_{22} \\
&\quad \sigma_{12}\sigma_{11}\phi_{11}\phi_{12} + \sigma_{12}\phi_{12} - \sigma_{12}\sigma_{11}\phi_{11}\phi_{12} && \text{by (E2.1.4) and (E2.1.6)} \\
&= \sigma_{22}\phi_{22} + \sigma_{12}\phi_{12} \\
&= Id_A. && \text{by Definition 1.8}
\end{aligned}$$

The assertion follows.

(c) Let $d = \det\sigma$ and let

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{11} & \phi_{12} \\ \phi_{21} & \phi_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{22}\circ d^{-1} & -p\sigma_{21}\circ d^{-1} \\ -p^{-1}\sigma_{12}\circ d^{-1} & \sigma_{11}\circ d^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is straightforward to prove that $\sigma \bullet \phi = Id_{2\times 2}$ where $\bullet$ is defined in Definition 1.8. Hence ϕ is a right inverse of σ.

We now assume that B is a connected graded right double extension. By the relation (R1), $y_1A + y_2A + A \subseteq Ay_1 + Ay_2 + A$. Since ϕ is a right inverse of σ we also obtain (E1.9.1):

$$r(y_1, y_2) = (y_1, y_2)\begin{pmatrix} \phi_{11}(r) & \phi_{12}(r) \\ \phi_{21}(r) & \phi_{22}(r) \end{pmatrix} + (\delta'_1(r), \delta'_2(r))$$

for all $r \in A$. This implies that $Ay_1 + Ay_2 + A \subseteq y_1A + y_2A + A$ and hence $Ay_1 + Ay_2 + A = y_1A + y_2A + A$. Since B is a right double extension, $Ay_1 + Ay_2 + A$ is a left free A-module with basis $\{1, y_1, y_2\}$. In particular the Hilbert series of $Ay_1 + Ay_2 + A$ is equal to $(1 + t^{\deg y_1} + t^{\deg y_2})H_A(t)$. Therefore the Hilbert series of $y_1A + y_2A + A$ is also $(1 + t^{\deg y_1} + t^{\deg y_2})H_A(t)$. This implies that $y_1A + y_2A + A$ is a right free A-module with basis $\{1, y_1, y_2\}$. By Lemma 1.9, σ is invertible.

By Proposition 1.13, B is a double extension. □

Next we prove that the determinant $\det\sigma$ has a homological interpretation. If M is a (B, A)-bimodule and f is an automorphism of A, then the twisted bimodule ${}^1M^f = M^f$ is defined to be M as k-space with bimodule action

$$b \cdot m \cdot a = bmf(a)$$

for all $m \in M, b \in B, a \in A$. Similarly for an (A, B)-bimodule N, twisted (A, B)-bimodule ${}^fN$ can be defined.

For $M \oplus M$ there is another right A-module structure defined by using σ as follows:

$$(s, t) * r = (s, t)\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11}(r) & \sigma_{12}(r) \\ \sigma_{21}(r) & \sigma_{22}(r) \end{pmatrix} = (s\sigma_{11}(r) + t\sigma_{21}(r), s\sigma_{12}(r) + t\sigma_{22}(r))$$

for all $s, t \in M$ and $r \in A$. Since σ is an algebra homomorphism, $*$ defines a right A-module structure commuting with the left B-module structure. This $(B.A)$-bimodule is denoted by $(M \oplus M)^\sigma$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let B be a trimmed double extension $A_P[y_1, y_2; \sigma]$.*

(a) *There is an exact sequence of (B, A)-modules*

$$0 \to B^{\det\sigma} \to (B \oplus B)^{\sigma} \to B \to A \to 0 \tag{E2.2.1}$$

where the last term A is identified with $B/(y_1, y_2)$.

(b)

$$\operatorname{Ext}^i_B(A, B) \cong \begin{cases} {}^{\det\sigma}A & i = 2 \\ 0 & i \neq 2 \end{cases}.$$

(c) *If B is a connected graded double extension, then the graded versions of (a) and (b) hold.*

Proof. (a) It follows from Definition 1.3(aii,aiii) that we have an exact sequence of left B-modules

$$0 \to B \xrightarrow{g} B \oplus B \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{\epsilon} A \to 0 \tag{E2.2.2}$$

where f maps (a, b) to $(a, b)\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} = ay_1 + by_2$ and g maps c to $(c(p_{11}y_1 - y_2), cp_{12}y_1)$. Since B contains A, B has a natural right A-module structure and ϵ is a (B, A)-bimodule map. Let $*$ be the right A-module on $(B \oplus B)^{\sigma}$ defined before the theorem. Then

$$(a, b) * r = (a\sigma_{11}(r) + b\sigma_{21}(r), a\sigma_{12}(r) + b\sigma_{22}(r)).$$

Since σ is an algebra homomorphism, $*$ defines a right A-module structure. Since

$$f((a, b) * r) = f((a, b)\sigma(r)) = (a, b)\sigma(r)\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} = (a, b)\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} r = f(a, b)r,$$

f is a right A-module map. Let $\star$ be the right A-module on $B^{\det\sigma}$, namely,

$$c \star r = c \det\sigma(r)$$

for all $c \in B$ and $r \in A$. Since $\det\sigma$ is invertible (Proposition 2.1(b)) and since $A^{\det\sigma} \cong A$ as right A-module, we see that $B^{\det\sigma}$ is isomorphic to a free right A-module $\bigoplus_{n_1, n_2 \geq 0} y_1^{n_1} y_2^{n_2} A$. Next we verify that g is a right A-module map. For $c \in B$ and $r \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} g(c) * r &= (c(p_{11}y_1 - y_2), cp_{12}y_1) * r \\ &= (c(p_{11}y_1 - y_2)\sigma_{11}(r) + cp_{12}y_1\sigma_{21}(r), c(p_{11}y_1 - y_2)\sigma_{12}(r) + cp_{12}y_1\sigma_{22}(r)). \end{aligned}$$

We need to change this expression by moving $\sigma_{ij}(r)$ form the right-hand side of y_s to the left-hand side of y_t. Since g is a left B-module map, we may further assume that c is 1. The first component is now

$$\begin{aligned} &(p_{11}y_1 - y_2)\sigma_{11}(r) + p_{12}y_1\sigma_{21}(r) \\ &\quad = p_{11}\sigma_{11}\sigma_{11}(r)y_1 + p_{11}\sigma_{12}\sigma_{11}(r)y_2 \\ &\quad\quad - \sigma_{21}\sigma_{11}(r)y_1 - \sigma_{22}\sigma_{11}(r)y_2 \\ &\quad\quad + p_{12}\sigma_{11}\sigma_{21}(r)y_1 + p_{12}\sigma_{12}\sigma_{21}(r)y_2 \\ &\quad = (p_{11}\sigma_{11}\sigma_{11}(r) - \sigma_{21}\sigma_{11}(r) + p_{12}\sigma_{11}\sigma_{21}(r))y_1 - (\det\sigma)(r)y_2 \\ &\quad = p_{11}(\sigma_{22}\sigma_{11} - p_{11}\sigma_{12}\sigma_{11}(r) - p_{12}\sigma_{12}\sigma_{21}(r))y_1 - (\det\sigma)(r)y_2 \\ &\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad \text{by (R3.1)} \\ &\quad = (\det\sigma)(p_{11}y_1 - y_2). \end{aligned}$$

The second component is now

$$\begin{aligned}(p_{11}y_1 - y_2)&\sigma_{12}(r) + p_{12}y_1\sigma_{22}(r)\\ &= p_{11}\sigma_{11}\sigma_{12}(r)y_1 + p_{11}\sigma_{12}\sigma_{12}(r)y_2\\ &\quad - \sigma_{21}\sigma_{12}(r)y_1 - \sigma_{22}\sigma_{12}(r)y_2\\ &\quad + p_{12}\sigma_{11}\sigma_{22}(r)y_1 + p_{12}\sigma_{12}\sigma_{22}(r)y_2\\ &= (p_{11}\sigma_{11}\sigma_{12}(r) - \sigma_{21}\sigma_{12}(r) + p_{12}\sigma_{11}\sigma_{22}(r))y_1 \qquad \text{by (R3.3)}\\ &= (p_{12}^{-1}p_{11}\sigma_{11}\sigma_{12}(r) - p_{12}^{-1}\sigma_{21}\sigma_{12}(r) + \sigma_{11}\sigma_{22}(r))p_{12}y_1\\ &= (\det\sigma)(r)p_{12}y_1.\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$g(c) * r = (c(\det\sigma)(r)(p_{11}y_1 - y_2), c(\det\sigma)(r)p_{12}y_1) = g(c(\det\sigma)(r)) = g(c \star r).$$

Hence g is a (B, A)-bimodule map and we have an exact sequence of (B, A)-bimodules (E2.2.1).

(b) To compute $\operatorname{Ext}^2_B(A, B)$, we apply the functor $(-)^\vee := \operatorname{Hom}_B(-, B)$ to the truncated sequence of (E2.2.1) and obtain a complex of (A, B)-modules

$$0 \leftarrow {}^{\det\sigma}B \xleftarrow{g^\vee} {}^{\sigma}(B \oplus B) \xleftarrow{f^\vee} B \leftarrow 0.$$

Since B is a left double extension of A, the above complex is exact except for the homology at ${}^{\det\sigma}B$ position. Hence $\operatorname{Ext}^i_B(A, B) = 0$ for all $i \neq 2$ and

$$\operatorname{Ext}^2_B(A, B) = {}^{\det\sigma}B/im(g^\vee) \cong {}^{\det\sigma}B/(y_1, y_2) = {}^{\det\sigma}A.$$

(c) This is clear since all maps can be chosen to be graded. □

3. Regularity of double extensions

In this section we recall the definition of Artin-Schelter regularity and prove Theorem 0.2.

The right derived functor of $\operatorname{Hom}_B(-,-)$ is denoted by RHom_B and the left derived functor of $\otimes_B$ is denoted by $\otimes^L_B$. In particular,

$$H^i(\operatorname{RHom}_B(M, N)) = \operatorname{Ext}^i_B(M, N)$$

where H^i is the ith cohomology of a complex, and

$$H^{-i}(M \otimes^L_B N) = \operatorname{Tor}^B_i(M, N).$$

When B is graded, we also take these functors and derived functors in the graded module category. Let X be a complex. Then $X[d]$ means the dth complex shift and $X(d)$ means dth degree shift where the degree shift comes from the grading of B.

A connected graded algebra $B = k \oplus B_1 \oplus B_2 \oplus \cdots$ is called *Artin-Schelter regular* or *regular* for short if the following three conditions hold.

(AS1) B has finite global dimension d, and

(AS2) B is *Gorenstein*, namely, there is an integer l such that,

$$\operatorname{Ext}^i_B({}_Bk, B) = \begin{cases} k(l) & \text{if } i = d\\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq d\end{cases}$$

where k is the trivial B-module $B/B_{\geq 1}$; and the same condition holds for the right trivial B-module k_B.

(AS3) B has finite Gelfand-Kirillov dimension, i.e., there is a positive number c such that $\dim B_n < c\, n^c$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

If B is regular, then the global dimension of B is called the *dimension* of B. Condition (AS2) means that $\mathrm{RHom}_B(k, B) = k(l)[d]$.

If B is regular, then by [SZ, 3.1.1], the trivial left B-module ${}_Bk$ has a minimal free resolution of the form

$$0 \to P_d \to \cdots P_1 \to P_0 \to k_B \to 0 \tag{E3.0.1}$$

where $P_w = \oplus_{s=1}^{n_w} B(-i_{w,s})$ for some finite integers n_w and $i_{w,s}$. The Gorenstein condition (AS2) implies that the above free resolution is symmetric in the sense that the dual complex of (E3.0.1) is a free resolution of the trivial right B-module (after a degree shift). As a consequence, we have $P_0 = B$, $P_d = B(-l)$, $n_w = n_{d-w}$, and $i_{w,s} + i_{d-w,n_w-s+1} = l$ for all w, s.

For simplicity we only consider graded algebras generated in degree 1. Regular algebras of dimension 3 were classified by Artin, Schelter, Tate and Van den Bergh [AS, ATV1, ATV2]. If B is a regular algebra of dimension 3, then it is generated by either two or three elements. If B is a noetherian regular algebra of global dimension 4, then B is generated by either 2, or 3 or 4 elements [LPWZ, Proposition 1.4]. Possible forms of the minimal free resolutions of the trivial module k are listed in [LPWZ, Proposition 1.4]. In this paper we only consider regular algebras B generated by 4 elements of degree 1. Some discussions about the connection between the regularity of a right double extension and the invertibility of σ and p_{12} are given in [ZZ, Section 2].

Consider a sequence of graded algebra homomorphisms (sometimes called an "exact sequence" of algebras)

$$1 \to A \to B \to C \to 1$$

so that

(i) A is a subring of B, and
(ii) $C = B/BA_{\geq 1}$, where $BA_{\geq 1} = A_{\geq 1}B$ is an ideal of B.

This exact sequence will help us to prove some homological properties of B using the properties of A and C. By Proposition 1.14, a double extension gives rise to such an exact sequence (where $C = k\langle y_1, y_2\rangle/(y_2y_1 - p_{12}y_1y_2 - p_{11}y_1^2)$).

For a trimmed double extension we can switch the position of A and C. To avoid the confusion we use different letters. Here is the setup. Let $D \subset B$ are connected graded rings satisfying the following conditions:

(E3.0.2)

(a) B is free (or equivalently flat in this case) over D on the left and on the right.
(b) $D_{\geq 1}B = BD_{\geq 1}$, hence it is a two-sided ideal of B. Let C be the graded factor ring $B/D_{\geq 1}B$
(c) The trivial D-module ${}_Dk$ has a free resolution P^*:

$$\cdots \to P^{-i} \to P^{-i+1} \to \cdots \to P^0 \to k \to 0$$

such that each P^{-i} is a finitely generated free D-module.

Condition (E3.0.2)(a) holds when B is a double extension of $D = A$. However, D could be different from A in general.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume* (E3.0.2)*. Let k denote the trivial module over D (or over B, or over C).*

- (a) *$B \otimes^L_D k \cong C$ as complex of graded B-modules.*
- (b) *If M is a bounded complex of graded D-module with finite projective dimension, then* $\mathrm{RHom}_D(k, M) = \mathrm{RHom}_D(k, D) \otimes^L_D M$*.*
- (c) $\mathrm{RHom}_D(k, B) = \mathrm{RHom}_D(k, D) \otimes_D B$*.*
- (d) $\mathrm{RHom}_B(C, k) \cong \mathrm{RHom}_D(k, k)$ *as a complex of graded k-modules. As a consequence,* $\operatorname{pdim}_B C = \operatorname{gldim} D$*.*
- (e) *Suppose the algebra D satisfies* (AS2) *with* $\mathrm{RHom}_D(k, D) = k(l_D)[d_D]$ *for some integers $l_D, d_D \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then* $\mathrm{RHom}_B(C, B) \cong C(l_D)[d_D]$ *as complexes of right B-modules.*

Proof. (a) Since B_D is free, $B \otimes^L_D k \cong B \otimes_D k = B/BD_{\geq 1} = C$.

(b) We replace M by a bounded complex of projective D-modules, still denoted by M. Let $P^* \to k \to 0$ be a free resolution of ${}_D k$ such that each P^{-i} is finite. Then we have

$$\mathrm{RHom}_D(k, M) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_D(P^*, M) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_D(P^*, D) \otimes_D M$$

where the last $\cong$ follows by the fact each P^{-i} is finite. We continue the computation

$$\mathrm{Hom}_D(P^*, D) \otimes_D M \cong \mathrm{RHom}_D(k, D) \otimes_D M \cong \mathrm{RHom}_D(k, D) \otimes^L_D M.$$

(c) Note that ${}_D B$ is free, so the assertion is a consequence of (b).

(d) We use part (a) and the Hom-$\otimes$ adjunction

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{RHom}_B(C, {}_B k) &\cong \mathrm{RHom}_B(B \otimes^L_D k, k) \\ &\cong \mathrm{RHom}_D(k, \mathrm{RHom}_B(B, k)) \cong \mathrm{RHom}_D(k, k).\end{aligned}$$

(e) We use part (a) and the Hom-$\otimes$ adjunction

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{RHom}_B(C, B) &\cong \mathrm{RHom}_B(B \otimes^L_D k, B) \\ &\cong \mathrm{RHom}_D(k, \mathrm{RHom}_B(B, B)) \cong \mathrm{RHom}_D(k, B).\end{aligned}$$

Next we use part (c) and the Gorenstein property (AS2)

$$\mathrm{RHom}_D(k, B) \cong \mathrm{RHom}_D(k, D) \otimes_D B \cong k(l_D)[d_D] \otimes_D B \cong C(l_D)[d_D].$$

□

By Lemma 3.1(e), $\mathrm{RHom}_B(C, B) \cong C(l_D)[d_D]$ as complexes of right B-modules. But we don't know at this point whether this is an isomorphism of complexes of left B-modules.

Proposition 3.2. *Assume* (E3.0.2)*. In parts (b,c) further assume that*

$$\text{(E3.2.1)} \qquad \mathrm{RHom}_B(C, B) \cong C(l_D)[d_D]$$

as left C-module.

- (a) $\operatorname{gldim} B \leq \operatorname{gldim} D + \operatorname{gldim} C$.
- (b) *If D and C satisfy* (AS2)*, then so does B. Further, $l_D + l_C = l_B$ and $d_D + d_C = d_B$.*
- (c) *If D and C satisfy* (AS1,2)*, then so does B. In this case* $\operatorname{gldim} B = \operatorname{gldim} D + \operatorname{gldim} C$.

Proof. (a) To prove the inequality we may assume that both $\operatorname{gldim} D$ and $\operatorname{gldim} C$ are finite. By (E3.0.2)(c), $\operatorname{Ext}^*_D(k,k)$ is finite dimensional.

Let X be a complex of graded C-module such that $H^*(X)$ is finite dimensional over k, then by induction on the k-vector space dimension of $H^*(X)$, we have that $\operatorname{Ext}^n_C(k,X) = 0$ for $n > \operatorname{gldim} C + \sup X$. When $X = \operatorname{RHom}_D(k,k)$ (with the C-module structure given by Lemma 3.1(d)), then $\operatorname{Ext}^n_C(k, \operatorname{RHom}_D(k,k)) = 0$ for all $n > \operatorname{gldim} C + \operatorname{gldim} D$ because $\sup \operatorname{RHom}_D(k,k) = \operatorname{gldim} D$.

Viewing C as a (B,C)-bimodule. We have ${}_B k \cong C \otimes^L_C {}_C k$. By Hom-$\otimes$ adjunction we have

$$\operatorname{RHom}_B(k,k) \cong \operatorname{RHom}_B(C \otimes^L_C {}_C k, k) \cong \operatorname{RHom}_C(k, \operatorname{RHom}_B(C,k)).$$

By Lemma 3.1(c), we have

$$\operatorname{RHom}_C(k, \operatorname{RHom}_B(C,k)) \cong \operatorname{RHom}_C(k, \operatorname{RHom}_D(k,k)).$$

Therefore

$$\operatorname{Ext}^n_B(k,k) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^n_C(k, \operatorname{RHom}_D(k,k)) = 0$$

for all $n > \operatorname{gldim} C + \operatorname{gldim} D$. This shows the inequality.

(b) We use Hom-$\otimes$ adjunction again

$$\operatorname{RHom}_B(k,B) \cong \operatorname{RHom}_B(C \otimes^L_C k, B) \cong \operatorname{RHom}_C(k, \operatorname{RHom}_B(C,B)).$$

By hypothesis, $\operatorname{RHom}_B(C,B) \cong C(l_D)[d_D]$ as a left C-module. Hence

$$\operatorname{RHom}_C(k, \operatorname{RHom}_B(C,B)) \cong \operatorname{RHom}_C(k,C)(l_D)[d_D] \cong k(l_D + l_C)[d_D + d_C].$$

The assertion follows.

(c) This is a consequence of (a) and (b). ☐

Theorem 3.3. *Let A be an Artin-Schelter regular algebra and let B be a connected graded trimmed double extension $A_P[y_1,y_2;\sigma]$. Then B is Artin-Schelter regular and* $\operatorname{gldim} B = \operatorname{gldim} A + 2$.

Proof. Let $C = A$, which is Artin-Schelter regular by hypothesis. Let D be the subalgebra $k_P[y_1,y_2]$. It's easy to see (E3.0.2)(b,c). Now we check (E3.0.2)(a). By symmetry, we only work on the right D-module B. Since B is non-negatively graded, the projectivity of B_D is equivalent to the condition $\operatorname{Tor}^D_i(B,k) = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$, which follows from the exactness of (E2.2.2).

By Theorem 2.2(b), (E3.2.1) holds. By Proposition 3.2 B satisfies (AS1,2) and $\operatorname{gldim} B = \operatorname{gldim} A + 2$. By (E1.13.2) $\operatorname{GKdim} B = \operatorname{GKdim} A + 2$. Therefore B is Artin-Schelter regular. ☐

To prove Theorem 0.2 we need to pass the Artin-Schelter regularity from the trimmed double extension $A_P[y_1,y_2;\sigma]$ to $A_P[y_1,y_2;\sigma,\delta,\tau]$. Note that we don't know if A and B are noetherian in this setting.

Let $A_P[y_1,y_2;\sigma,\delta,\tau]$ be a graded (or ungraded) double extension of A with $d_1 = \deg y_1$ and $d_2 = \deg y_2$ (or $\deg y_1 = \deg y_2 = 0$). Define a new grading $\deg' y_1 = d_1 + 1$ and $\deg' y_2 = d_2 + 1$ and $\deg' x = \deg x$ for all $x \in A$. Using this grading we can define a filtration of B by

$$F_m B = \{\sum a_{n_1,n_2} y_1^{n_1} y_2^{n_2} \in B \mid \deg' a_{n_1,n_2} + n_1 \deg' y_1 + n_2 \deg' y_2 \leq m\}.$$

Lemma 3.4. *Let B be a graded (right) double extension $A_P[y_1,y_2;\sigma,\delta,\tau]$ of A.*

(a) *$\{F_m B \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a non-negative filtration such that the associated graded ring $\operatorname{gr}_F B$ is isomorphic to $A_P[y_1,y_2;\sigma]$.*

(b) *Let* $R_F B$ *be the Rees ring associated to this filtration. Then there is a central element* t *of degree 1 such that* $R_F B/(t) = A_P[y_1, y_2; \sigma]$ *as graded rings and* $R_F B/(t-1) = A_P[y_1, y_2; \sigma, \delta, \tau]$ *as ungraded rings.*

(c) *If* B *is connected graded, then so are* $\operatorname{gr}_F B$ *and* $R_F B$*.*

Proof. (a) Clearly $F_m B$ is a non-negative filtration such that $B = \bigcup_m F_m B$. Since every element in B is of the form $\sum_{n_1,n_2} a_{n_1,n_2} y_1^{n_1} y_2^{n_2}$, $\operatorname{gr}_F B$ is generated by A and y_1, y_2. The relation (R1) becomes

$$y_2 y_1 = p_{12} y_1 y_2 + p_{11} y_1^2$$

in $\operatorname{gr}_F B$ and (R2) becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} r = \sigma(r) \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

in $\operatorname{gr}_F B$ which implies Definition 1.3(aiv). To prove $\operatorname{gr}_F B$ is a graded right double extension it suffices to show Definition 1.3(aiii). Since $\operatorname{gr}_F B$ is graded we only need to show a graded version of Definition 1.3(aiii). Say, $\sum_{n_1,n_2} a_{n_1,n_2} y_1^{n_1} y_2^{n_2} = 0$ in $\operatorname{gr}_F B$ for some homogeneous elements $a_{n_1,n_2} \in A$. Then

$$\sum_{n_1,n_2} a_{n_1,n_2} y_1^{n_1} y_2^{n_2} = \sum_{n_1,n_2} b_{n_1,n_2} y_1^{n_1} y_2^{n_2}$$

with $\deg'(\sum_{n_1,n_2} b_{n_1,n_2} y_1^{n_1} y_2^{n_2}) < \deg'(\sum_{n_1,n_2} a_{n_1,n_2} y_1^{n_1} y_2^{n_2})$. But this is impossible unless $a_{n_1,n_2} = b_{n_1,n_2} = 0$ for all n_1, n_2. Thus we proved Definition 1.3(aiii) for $\operatorname{gr}_F B$ and hence $\operatorname{gr}_F B$ is a right double extension.

If B is a double extension, $\operatorname{gr}_F B$ is also a double extension of A. The assertion follows.

(b,c) Clear. □

If $A_P[y_1, y_2; \sigma]$ is noetherian, then it is well-known that the Artin-Schelter regularity passes from $\operatorname{gr}_F B = A_P[y_1, y_2; \sigma]$ to $B := A_P[y_1, y_2; \sigma, \delta, \tau]$. However we don't know if $A_P[y_1, y_2; \sigma]$ is always noetherian when A is. So for the rest of section we generalize some arguments in the noetherian case to the non-noetherian case.

Lemma 3.5. *Let* B *be a connected graded ring with a central non-zero-divisor* t *of positive degree. If* $B/(t)$ *is Artin-Schelter regular, then so is* B*. Further* $\operatorname{gldim} B = \operatorname{gldim} B/(t) + 1$.

As a consequence, if $\operatorname{gr}_F B := A_P[y_1, y_2; \sigma]$ *is Artin-Schelter regular, so is* $R_F B$*.*

Proof. First of all $\operatorname{GKdim} B = \operatorname{GKdim} B/(t) + 1 < \infty$. To prove (AS1,AS2) we set $D = k[t]$. Since t is a central non-zero-divisor, (E3.0.2) holds. By Rees' lemma, (E3.2.1) holds. The assertion follows from Proposition 3.2. □

Next we want to show that if $\operatorname{gr}_F B$ (or $R_F B$) is Artin-Schelter regular and if B is connected graded, then B is Artin-Schelter regular.

Theorem 3.6. *Let* B *be a connected graded algebra with a filtration such that* $\operatorname{gr}_F B$ *is a connected graded Artin-Schelter algebra. Then* B *is Artin-Schelter regular and* $\operatorname{gldim} B = \operatorname{gldim} \operatorname{gr}_F B$.

As a consequence if $A_P[y_1, y_2; \sigma]$ *is Artin-Schelter regular, then so is a connected graded double extension* $A_P[y_1, y_2; \sigma, \delta, \tau]$*.*

Proof. Let t be the central non-zero-divisor $1 \in F_1B \subset R_FB$. Then we have $R_FB/(t) = \operatorname{gr}_F B$. Since $\operatorname{gr}_F B$ is Artin-Schelter regular, by Lemma 3.5, the Rees ring R_FB is Artin-Schelter regular. Since the localization $R_FB[t^{-1}]$ is isomorphic to $B[t^{\pm 1}]$, we have

$$\text{(E3.6.1)} \qquad \operatorname{gldim} B = \operatorname{gr.gldim} B[t^{\pm 1}] \leq \operatorname{gldim} R_FB[t^{-1}] \leq \operatorname{gldim} R_FB < \infty.$$

Thus (AS1) holds for B. If t is a central non-zero-divisor in any algebra A, $\operatorname{GKdim} A = \operatorname{GKdim} A[t^{-1}]$ by [MR, 8.2.13]. Hence, by [MR, 8.2.7(iii)],

$$\operatorname{GKdim} B + 1 = \operatorname{GKdim} B[t^{\pm 1}] = \operatorname{GKdim} R_FB[t^{-1}] = \operatorname{GKdim} R_FB < \infty.$$

Thus (AS3) holds for B. It remains to show (AS2) for B.

Let M be a left B-module of k-dimension $d < \infty$. (For example, M is the trivial B-module $B/B_{\geq 1}$). Let N denote the graded vector space $\oplus_{n\geq 0} M$, namely, $N_n = M$ for $n \geq 0$ and $N_n = 0$ for $n < 0$. We define a left graded R_FB-module structure as follows: for $x \in M = N_n$ and $a \in F_mB$, $a * x = ax \in M = (N)_{n+m}$. Then we have an exact sequence of graded left R_FB-modules

$$\text{(E3.6.2)} \qquad 0 \to N(-1) \xrightarrow{t} N \to k^{\oplus d} \to 0.$$

Since R_FB is Artin-Schelter regular, its trivial module k has a finite free resolution (E3.0.1). This implies that each $\operatorname{Tor}_i^{R_FB}(k,k)$ is finite dimensional for every i. We now consider the Tor group $\operatorname{Tor}_i^{R_FB}(k,N)$. Since t is central, there is a t-action on $\operatorname{Tor}_i^{R_FB}(k,N)$ which is induced by the map in (E3.6.2). Since $kt = 0 = tk$, $t\operatorname{Tor}_i^{R_FB}(k,N) = 0$. Applying $\operatorname{Tor}^{R_FB}(k,-)$ to the short sequence (E3.6.2), we have a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to \operatorname{Tor}_i^{R_FB}(k,N(-1)) \xrightarrow{0} \operatorname{Tor}_i^{R_FB}(k,N) \to \operatorname{Tor}_i^{R_FB}(k,k^{\oplus d}) \to \cdots$$

where the zero map is induced by the multiplication by t. Hence $\operatorname{Tor}_i^{R_FB}(k,N)$ is a subspace of $\operatorname{Tor}_i^{R_FB}(k,k^{\oplus d})$ which is finite dimensional over k. Hence the left R_FB-module N has a finite graded free resolution. We compute $\operatorname{Ext}^i_{R_FB}(N,R_FB)$ by using a finite graded free resolution of N, then each $\operatorname{Ext}^i_{R_FB}(N,R_FB)$ is bounded below. Applying $\operatorname{Ext}_{R_FB}(-,R_FB)$ to (E3.6.2) we obtain a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \to \operatorname{Ext}^i_{R_FB}(k^{\oplus d},R_FB) \to \operatorname{Ext}^i_{R_FB}(N,R_FB) &\xrightarrow{f_i} \operatorname{Ext}^i_{R_FB}(N(-1),R_FB) \\ \to \operatorname{Ext}^{i+1}_{R_FB}(k^{\oplus d},R_FB) \to \cdots . \end{aligned}$$

where f_i is induced by the multiplication by t. If $i \neq \operatorname{gldim} R_FB - 1$, then $\operatorname{Ext}^{i+1}_{R_FB}(k^{\oplus d},R_FB) = 0$. The map $f_i = 0$ since $\operatorname{Ext}^i_{R_FB}(N,R_FB)$ is bounded below. When $i = \operatorname{gldim} R_FB - 1 := p$, then we have

$$0 \to \operatorname{Ext}^p_{R_FB}(N,R_FB) \xrightarrow{f_p} \operatorname{Ext}^p_{R_FB}(N(-1),R_FB) \to k^{\oplus d}(l) \to 0.$$

Hence f_p is injective and $\operatorname{Ext}^p_{R_FB}(N,R_FB)$ has the Hilbert series equal to $dt^{-l}(1-t)^{-1}$. This implies that the localization $\operatorname{Ext}^p_{R_FB}(N,R_FB)[t^{-1}] = X[t^{\pm 1}]$ for some d-dimensional left B-module X.

Since N has a finite free resolution (hence pseudo-coherent in the sense of [YZ]), by [YZ, Lemma 2.2(a)], we have, for every j,

$$\operatorname{Ext}^j_{R_FB}(N,R_FB)[t^{-1}] \cong \operatorname{Ext}^j_{R_FB}(N,R_FB[t^{-1}]),$$

and by [YZ, Lemma 2.2(b)]

$$\operatorname{Ext}^j_{R_FB}(N,R_FB[t^{-1}]) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^j_{R_FB[t^{-1}]}(N[t^{-1}],R_FB[t^{-1}]).$$

Since $N[t^{-1}] = M[t^{\pm t}]$ and $\operatorname{grmod} R_F B[t^{-1}] \cong \ \operatorname{mod} B$, we have

$$\operatorname{Ext}^j_{R_F B[t^{-1}]}(N[t^{-1}], R_F B[t^{-1}]) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^j_B(M, B)[t^{\pm 1}].$$

Combining the results we proved in the last paragraph, we have

$$\operatorname{Ext}^j_B(M, B)[t^{\pm 1}] = \begin{cases} 0 & i \neq \operatorname{gldim} R_F B - 1 \\ X[t^{\pm 1}] & i = \operatorname{gldim} R_F B - 1 \end{cases}.$$

Hence

$$\operatorname{Ext}^j_B(M, B) = \begin{cases} 0 & i \neq \operatorname{gldim} R_F B - 1 \\ X & i = \operatorname{gldim} R_F B - 1 \end{cases}.$$

If we take M to be the trivial module $k = B/B_{\geq 1}$, this is (AS2) for B and $\operatorname{gldim} B \geq \operatorname{gldim} R_F B - 1$.

To complete (AS2) we need to check that $\operatorname{gldim} B = \operatorname{gldim} R_F B - 1$. By (E3.6.1), $\operatorname{gldim} B \leq \operatorname{gldim} R_F B = r$. Consider the minimal graded free resolution of the trivial B-module k,

$$0 \to P^{-r} \to P^{-r+1} \to \cdots \to P^{-1} \to B \to k \to 0.$$

The proof of [SZ, Proposition 3.1(a)] shows that if $\operatorname{Ext}^r_B(k, B) = 0$ then $P^{-r} = 0$. Thus $\operatorname{gldim} B = r - 1$ as desired.

The consequence follows from the fact that $\operatorname{gr} A_P[y_1, y_2; \sigma, \delta, \tau] = A_P[y_1, y_2; \sigma]$. □

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 0.2.

Proof of Theorem 0.2. Let A be an Artin-Schelter regular algebra and let $B = A_P[y_1, y_2; \sigma, \delta, \tau]$ be a connected graded double extension of A. Let C be the trimmed double extension $A_P[y_1, y_2; \sigma]$. By Theorem 3.3, C is Artin-Schelter regular and $\operatorname{gldim} C = \operatorname{gldim} A + 2$. By Theorem 3.6, B is Artin-Schelter regular and $\operatorname{gldim} B = \operatorname{gldim} C$. □

4. Examples and questions

The first example is fairly easy.

Example 4.1. Let $A = k[x]$. There are so many different right double extensions of A. It is possible to classify all connected graded double extensions $A_P[y_1, y_2; \sigma, \delta, \tau]$ with $\deg x = \deg y_1 = \deg y_2 = 1$, but it makes no sense to list all since these algebras are all well-known regular algebra of global dimension 3 studied by Artin and Schelter [AS]. We only give a few examples to indicate that the DE-data can be different kinds.

The relations (R1) and (R2) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} y_2 y_1 &= p_{12} y_1 y_2 + p_{11} y_1^2 + a x y_1 + b x y_2 + c x^2, \\ y_1 x &= d x y_1 + e x y_2 + f x^2, \\ y_2 x &= g x y_1 + h x y_2 + i x^2. \end{aligned}$$

The relations (R3) are equivalent to the fact that the overlap between the above three relations can be resolved. Since $p_{12} \neq 0$, we may choose P to be $(p, 0)$ or $(1, 1)$. The invertibility of σ is equivalent to the condition $dh - ge \neq 0$. The details of (R3) are omitted here. Here are a few examples which we only list the defining relations of B. Recall that B is generated by x, y_1, y_2.

Algebra $B^1 := B^1(p,a,b,c)$, where $a,b,c,p \in k$ and $p \neq 0, b \neq 0, 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} y_2y_1 &= py_1y_2 + \frac{bc}{1-b}(pb-1)xy_1 + ax^2, \\ y_1x &= bxy_1, \\ y_2x &= b^{-1}xy_2 + cx^2. \end{aligned}$$

The homomorphism σ is determined by $\sigma(x) = \begin{pmatrix} bx & 0 \\ 0 & b^{-1}x \end{pmatrix}$. In this case $\sigma_{12} = \sigma_{21} = 0$ and σ_{11} and σ_{22} are algebra automorphisms. The derivation is determined by $\delta(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ cx^2 \end{pmatrix}$. The parameter P is $(p,0)$ and the tail τ is $\{\frac{bc}{1-b}(pb-1)x, 0, ax^2\}$. We can use the linear transformation $y_2 \to y_2 + \frac{bc}{b-1}x$ to make $c = 0$. This means that $B^1(1,p,a,b,c) \cong B^1(1,p,a,b,0)$.

Algebra $B^2 := B^2(a,b,c)$, where $a,b,c \in k$ and $b \neq 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} y_2y_1 &= -y_1y_2 + ax^2, \\ y_1x &= b^{-1}xy_2 + cx^2, \\ y_2x &= bxy_1 + (-bc)x^2. \end{aligned}$$

The homomorphism σ is determined by $\sigma(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b^{-1}x \\ bx & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. By induction, one sees that $\sigma(x^n) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b^{-1}x^n \\ bx^n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ when n is odd and $\sigma(x^n) = \begin{pmatrix} x^n & 0 \\ 0 & x^n \end{pmatrix}$ when n is even. In this case σ_{11} and σ_{22} are not algebra homomorphisms of A. The derivation is determined by $\delta(x) = \begin{pmatrix} cx^2 \\ -bcx^2 \end{pmatrix}$. The parameter P is $(-1,0)$ and the tail τ is $\{0,0,ax^2\}$. It is easy to see that $B^2(a,b,c)$ is isomorphic to $B^2(ab^{-1},1,c)$.

For most (a,b,c) (for example for $(a,b,c) = (1,1,0)$), the algebra $B^2(a,b,c)$ is not an Ore extension of any regular algebra of global dimension 2. One can prove this assertion by direct computations and the fact that x is normalizing. Therefore $B^2(a,b,c)$ is not an iterated Ore extension of $k[x]$.

Algebra $B^3 := B^3(a)$, where $a \in k$ and $a \neq 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} y_2y_1 &= y_1y_2, \\ y_1x &= axy_1 + xy_2, \\ y_2x &= axy_2. \end{aligned}$$

The homomorphism σ is determined by $\sigma(x) = \begin{pmatrix} ax & x \\ 0 & ax \end{pmatrix}$. This σ is "upper-triangular". The derivation is zero. The parameter P is $(1,0)$ and the tail τ is $\{0,0,0\}$.

Algebra $B^4 := B^4(a,b,c)$, where $a,b,c \in k$ and $b \neq -1$.

$$\begin{aligned} y_2y_1 &= y_1y_2 + y_1^2 + axy_1 + \frac{b}{1+b}xy_2 + cx^2, \\ y_1x &= xy_1 + bx^2, \\ y_2x &= (2+2b^{-1})xy_1 + xy_2. \end{aligned}$$

The homomorphism σ is determined by $\sigma(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ (2+2b^{-1})x & x \end{pmatrix}$. The derivation is determined by $\delta(x) = \begin{pmatrix} bx^2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. The parameter P is $(1,1)$ and the tail τ is $\{ax, \frac{b}{1+b}x, cx^2\}$.

One can see from these examples that the DE-data can be all different even starting with a very simple algebra $A = k[x]$.

Let B denote any of the algebras B^i. The element x is normalizing in B and $B/(x)$ is a regular algebra of global dimension 2. These algebras are called normal extensions. Except for B^2, these algebras are also iterated Ore extensions of $k[x]$. There are possible isomorphisms between these algebras and we refer to [AS, ATV1, ATV2] for the classification and general properties of Artin-Schelter regular algebras of global dimension 3.

The second example seems new. It is Artin-Schelter regular of global dimension 4. Many other algebras are given in [ZZ].

Example 4.2. Let h be any nonzero scalar in k. Let $B(h)$ denote the graded algebra generated by x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 and subject to the following conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
x_2x_1 &= -x_1x_2 \\
y_2y_1 &= -y_1y_2 \\
y_1x_1 &= h(x_1y_1 + x_2y_1 + x_1y_2 \phantom{{}+x_2y_2}) \\
y_1x_2 &= h(+ x_1y_2 \phantom{{}+x_2y_2}) \\
y_2x_1 &= h(+ x_2y_1 \phantom{{}+x_1y_2+x_2y_2}) \\
y_2x_2 &= h(- x_2y_1 - x_1y_2 + x_2y_2).
\end{aligned} \tag{E4.2.1}$$

If we use the notation in [ZZ], the set of the last four relations is associated to the matrix

$$\Sigma = h \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We will work out some properties of $B(h)$. Clearly $B(h)$ is a connected graded algebra where $\deg x_1 = \deg x_2 = \deg y_1 = \deg y_2 = 1$. And it is quadratic. We will see that it is Koszul. If we define $\deg x_1 = \deg x_2 = (1,0)$ and $\deg y_1 = \deg y_2 = (0,1)$, then $B(h)$ is $\mathbb{Z}^2$-graded. The following lemma shows that $B(h)$ is very symmetric between x_i's and y_i's.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $B(h)$ be defined as above.*

(a) *$B(h)$ has an automorphism determined by $f(x_1) = x_2$, $f(x_2) = -x_1$, $f(y_1) = y_2$ and $f(y_2) = -y_1$.*

(b) *$B(h)$ has an anti-automorphism determined by $g(x_1) = y_1$, $g(x_2) = y_2$, $g(y_1) = x_1$ and $g(y_2) = x_2$.*

Proof. Easy. □

Next we show that $B(h)$ is a graded double extension of $A := k_{-1}[x_1, x_2] = k\langle x_1, x_2\rangle/(x_1x_2 + x_2x_1)$. First of all, $P = (-1, 0)$ and $\delta = 0$ and $\tau = (0,0,0)$. We only need to define algebra homomorphism $\sigma : A \to M_2(A)$. Since A is generated by x_1 and x_2, we only need to assign $\sigma(x_1)$ and $\sigma(x_2)$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let* $\sigma(x_1) = h\begin{pmatrix} x_1+x_2 & x_1 \\ x_2 & 0\end{pmatrix}$ *and* $\sigma(x_2) = h\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_1 \\ -x_2 & -x_1+x_2\end{pmatrix}$. *Then* σ *defines an algebra homomorphism* $A \to M_2(A)$. *And if we write* $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix}\sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12}\\ \sigma_{21} & \sigma_{22}\end{pmatrix}$, *then* σ_{ij} *is not an algebra homomorphism for each pair* (i,j).

Proof. For the first assertion we need to show that $\sigma(x_1)\sigma(x_2)+\sigma(x_2)\sigma(x_1)=0$.

$$\begin{aligned} &h^{-2}(\sigma(x_1)\sigma(x_2)+\sigma(x_2)\sigma(x_1))\\ &\quad=\begin{pmatrix} x_1+x_2 & x_1 \\ x_2 & 0\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_1 \\ -x_2 & -x_1+x_2\end{pmatrix}+\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_1 \\ -x_2 & -x_1+x_2\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} x_1+x_2 & x_1 \\ x_2 & 0\end{pmatrix}\\ &\quad=\begin{pmatrix} -x_1x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & x_2x_1\end{pmatrix}+\begin{pmatrix} x_1x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_2x_1\end{pmatrix}\\ &\quad=0.\end{aligned}$$

So σ is an algebra homomorphism $A \to M_2(A)$. For the second assertion we note that

$$\begin{pmatrix}\sigma_{11}(x_1) & \sigma_{12}(x_1)\\ \sigma_{21}(x_1) & \sigma_{22}(x_1)\end{pmatrix} = h\begin{pmatrix} x_1+x_2 & x_1 \\ x_2 & 0\end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix}\sigma_{11}(x_1^2) & \sigma_{12}(x_1^2)\\ \sigma_{21}(x_1^2) & \sigma_{22}(x_1^2)\end{pmatrix} = h^2\begin{pmatrix} (x_1+x_2)^2+x_1x_2 & (x_1+x_2)x_1 \\ x_2(x_1+x_2) & x_2x_1\end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore $\sigma_{ij}(x_1^2) \neq \sigma_{ij}(x_1)^2$ for each pair (i,j). □

Restricted to the generators we

$$\sigma_{11}\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\x_2\end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix}\sigma_{11}(x_1)\\ \sigma_{11}(x_2)\end{pmatrix} = h\begin{pmatrix}1 & 1\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\x_2\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sigma_{12}\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\x_2\end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix}\sigma_{12}(x_1)\\ \sigma_{12}(x_2)\end{pmatrix} = h\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 1 & 0\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\x_2\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sigma_{21}\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\x_2\end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix}\sigma_{21}(x_1)\\ \sigma_{21}(x_2)\end{pmatrix} = h\begin{pmatrix}0 & 1\\ 0 & -1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\x_2\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sigma_{22}\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\x_2\end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix}\sigma_{22}(x_1)\\ \sigma_{22}(x_2)\end{pmatrix} = h\begin{pmatrix}0 & 0\\ -1 & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\x_2\end{pmatrix}$$

Lemma 4.5. *The date* $\{P,\sigma,\delta,\tau\}$ *satisfies* (R3) *for the generating set* $\{x_1,x_2\}$. *As a consequence, the algebra* $B(h)$ *is a right double extension of* A.

Proof. Since $\delta = 0$ and $\tau = (0,0,0)$, only the first three relations in (R3) are non-trivial, namely,

$$\begin{gathered}\sigma_{21}\circ\sigma_{11} = -\sigma_{11}\circ\sigma_{21}\\ \sigma_{21}\circ\sigma_{12}-\sigma_{22}\circ\sigma_{11} = -\sigma_{11}\circ\sigma_{22}+\sigma_{12}\circ\sigma_{21}\\ \sigma_{22}\circ\sigma_{12} = -\sigma_{12}\circ\sigma_{22}\end{gathered}$$

We claim that these three relations are satisfied by the generating set $\{x_1,x_2\}$. For the first one, we have

$$\sigma_{21}\circ\sigma_{11}\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\x_2\end{pmatrix} = h^2\begin{pmatrix}1 & 1\\ 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}0 & 1\\ 0 & -1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\x_2\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}0\\0\end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$-\sigma_{11}\circ\sigma_{21}\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\x_2\end{pmatrix} = -h^2\begin{pmatrix}0&1\\0&-1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\x_2\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}0\\0\end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$\sigma_{21}\circ\sigma_{11}\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\x_2\end{pmatrix} = -\sigma_{11}\circ\sigma_{21}\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\x_2\end{pmatrix}.$$

Similarly, for the third one, one has

$$\sigma_{22}\circ\sigma_{12}\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\x_2\end{pmatrix} = 0 = -\sigma_{12}\circ\sigma_{22}\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\x_2\end{pmatrix}.$$

For the second relation, a computation shows that

$$(\sigma_{21}\circ\sigma_{12}-\sigma_{22}\circ\sigma_{11})\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\x_2\end{pmatrix} = h^2\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\x_2\end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$(-\sigma_{11}\circ\sigma_{22}+\sigma_{12}\circ\sigma_{21})\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\x_2\end{pmatrix} = h^2\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\x_2\end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore

$$(\sigma_{21}\circ\sigma_{12}-\sigma_{22}\circ\sigma_{11})\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\x_2\end{pmatrix} = (-\sigma_{11}\circ\sigma_{22}+\sigma_{12}\circ\sigma_{21})\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\x_2\end{pmatrix}.$$

Up to this point we have verified (R3) for the generators. The last four relations given in (E4.2.1) are (R2) for the generator. By Lemma 4.4, σ is an algebra homomorphism and $\delta = 0$ is trivially a σ-derivation. Therefore by Proposition 1.11, B is a right double extension. □

Proposition 4.6. (a) *The determinant of* σ *is equal to*

$$\det\sigma = \sigma_{11}\circ\sigma_{22}+\sigma_{21}\circ\sigma_{12} = \sigma_{22}\circ\sigma_{11}+\sigma_{12}\circ\sigma_{21}$$

which is determined by $\det\sigma(x_1) = h^2x_2$ *and* $\det\sigma(x_2) = -h^2x_1$.

(b) $B(h)$ *is a connected graded double extension of* $k_{-1}[x_1,x_2]$.

(c) $\det\sigma \neq \sigma_{22}\circ\sigma_{11}+\sigma_{21}\circ\sigma_{12}$ *and* $\det\sigma \neq \sigma_{11}\circ\sigma_{22}+\sigma_{12}\circ\sigma_{21}$.

Proof. (a) Since $P = (-1,0)$, the determinant of σ is as defined.

$$\begin{aligned}\det\sigma\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\x_2\end{pmatrix} &= (\sigma_{11}\circ\sigma_{22}+\sigma_{21}\circ\sigma_{12})\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\x_2\end{pmatrix}\\ &= h^2(\begin{pmatrix}0&0\\-1&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&1\\0&0\end{pmatrix}+\begin{pmatrix}1&0\\1&0\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}0&1\\0&-1\end{pmatrix})\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\x_2\end{pmatrix}\\ &= \begin{pmatrix}0&h^2\\-h^2&0\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\x_2\end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\det\sigma$ is invertible. By Proposition 2.1(b), σ is invertible.

(b) By part (a) σ is invertible. Since $p_{12} = -1 \neq 0$ and since B is a connected graded right double extension, by Proposition 1.13, B is a double extension.

(c) This follows by the following computation.

$$\begin{aligned}(\sigma_{22}\circ\sigma_{11}+\sigma_{21}\circ\sigma_{12})\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\x_2\end{pmatrix} &= (\begin{pmatrix}h&h\\0&0\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}0&0\\-h&h\end{pmatrix}+\begin{pmatrix}h&0\\h&0\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}0&h\\0&-h\end{pmatrix})\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\x_2\end{pmatrix}\\ &= \begin{pmatrix}-h^2&2h^2\\0&h^2\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\x_2\end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(\sigma_{11}\circ\sigma_{22}+\sigma_{12}\circ\sigma_{21})\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\x_2\end{pmatrix} &= (\begin{pmatrix}0&0\\-h&h\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}h&h\\0&0\end{pmatrix}+\begin{pmatrix}0&h\\0&-h\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}h&0\\h&0\end{pmatrix})\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\x_2\end{pmatrix}\\ &= \begin{pmatrix}h^2&0\\-2h^2&-h^2\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}x_1\\x_2\end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

So neither of $\sigma_{22}\circ\sigma_{11}+\sigma_{21}\circ\sigma_{12}$ nor $\sigma_{11}\circ\sigma_{22}+\sigma_{12}\circ\sigma_{21}$ is an algebra homomorphism of A, neither is equal to $\det\sigma$. □

Corollary 4.7. *Let $B=B(h)$ be defined as in Example 4.2.*

(a) *B is strongly noetherian and has enough normal elements.*
(b) *B is Koszul, Artin-Schelter regular of global dimension 4, generated by 4 elements of degree 1.*
(c) *B is an Auslander regular and Cohen-Macaulay domain.*
(d) *The quotient division ring of B is generated by two elements.*

Proof. (a) We claim that x_1x_2 and $x_1^2+x_2^2$ are normal elements of B. Since x_1 and x_2 are skew-commutative, x_1x_2 is skew-commuting with x_1 and x_2. Similarly, $x_1^2+x_2^2$ are commuting with x_1 and x_2. So we only need to check that these are (skew-)commuting with y_1, y_2. Use the relations in (E4.2.1), we have

$$y_1(x_1x_2)=y_1(-x_2x_1)=-hx_1y_2x_1=-h^2x_1x_2y_1=(x_1x_2)(-h^2y_1)$$

and

$$y_2(x_1x_2)=hx_2y_1x_2=h^2x_2x_1y_2=-h^2x_1x_2y_2=(x_1x_2)(-h^2y_2).$$

Therefore x_1x_2 is normal. By using the third and fourth relations in (E4.2.1), we have

$$y_1(x_1-x_2)=h(x_1+x_2)y_1 \tag{E4.7.1}$$

which implies that

$$y_1(x_1-x_2)^2=(x_1+x_2)^2(h^2y_1).$$

Similarly, we have

$$y_2(x_1+x_2)=h(-x_1+x_2)y_2, \quad \text{and} \quad y_2(x_1+x_2)^2=(x_1-x_2)^2(h^2y_2).$$

Since $(x_1-x_2)^2=(x_1+x_2)^2=x_1^2+x_2^2$, it is a normal element of B. By symmetry, y_1y_2 and $y_1^2+y_2^2$ are normal elements too. The factor ring $B/(x_1x_2, x_1^2+x_2^2, y_1y_2, y_1^2+y_2^2)$ is a finite dimensional algebra. Every finite dimensional algebra is strongly (and universally) noetherian. By [ASZ, Section 4], B is strongly (and universally) noetherian. Also B has enough normal elements in the sense of [Zh, p.392].

(b) First of all B is generated by x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 of degree 1. By Proposition 4.6(b), B is a connected graded double extension of an Artin-Schelter regular algebra A of global dimension 2. By Theorem 3.3, B is Artin-Schelter regular of 4. By (E1.13.2) the Hilbert series of B is equal to $(1-t)^{-4}$. It follows from [Sm, Theorem 5.11] that B is Koszul.

(c) This follows from part (b) and [Zh, Theorem 1].

(d) We claim that the quotient division ring Q of B is generated by y_1 and x_1-x_2. Let D be the division subalgebra of Q generated by y_1 and x_1-x_2. It follows from (E4.7.1) that $x_1+x_2\in D$. Hence both x_1 and x_2 are in D. The fourth quadratic relation of B (see Example 4.2) implies that $y_2\in D$. Therefore $D=Q$. □

Corollary 4.8. *Let $B = B(h)$. The following are equivalent:*

(i) *B is PI.*
(ii) *h is a root of unity.*
(iii) *$\det \sigma$ is of finite order.*

Proof. (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii) Follows from Proposition 4.6(a).

(i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) Suppose h is a root of unity, say lth root of unity. Then by the computation in the proof of Corollary 4.7(a), $(x_1x_2)^{2l}$, $(x_1^2+x_2^2)^l$, $(y_1y_2)^{2l}$ and $(y_1^2+y_2)^l$ are central elements of B. The factor ring $B/((x_1x_2)^{2l}, (x_1^2+x_2^2)^l, (y_1y_2)^{2l}, (y_1^2+y_2)^l)$ is finite dimensional over k. Hence B is PI.

If h is not a root of unity, then B contains a subalgebra generated by y_1 and (x_1x_2) with a relation $y_1(x_1x_2) = -h^2(x_1x_2)y_1$, which is not PI. So B is not PI. □

There are many examples of regular algebras of dimension 4. If A is a regular algebra of dimension 3, then any Ore extension $A[y;\sigma,\delta]$ (with invertible σ) is a regular algebra of dimension 4. Ore extensions such as $A[y;\sigma,\delta]$ are well-studied. A connected graded algebra A is called a *normal extension* of a regular algebra fo dimension 3, if there is a normalizing non-zero-divisor x of degree 1 such that $A/(x)$ is regular. Normal extension of regular algebras of dimension 3 are regular of dimension 4 and studied by Le Bruyn, Smith and Van den Bergh [LSV]. So we assume that normal extensions are well-understood. Next we show that $B(h)$ is neither an Ore extension nor a normal extension.

Lemma 4.9. *The algebra $B(h)$ has no normal element in degree 1. As a consequence, $B(h)$ is not a normal extension of an Artin-Schelter regular algebra of global dimension 3.*

Proof. Let $z = ax_1 + bx_2 + cy_1 + dy_2$ be a nonzero normal element of $B := B(h)$. Applying Lemma 4.3 we may assume that $a \neq 0$, so after a scalar change we may assume that $z = x_1+bx_2+cy_1+dy_2$. Since z is not in the ideal generated by y_1 and y_2, z remains nonzero and normal in $B/(y_1, y_2)$. Since $B/(y_1, y_2) \cong k_{-1}[x_1, x_2]$, it is easy to see that $x_1 + bx_2$ is normal if and only if $b = 0$. Thus $z = x_1 + cy_1 + dy_2$. Also it is easy to check that x_1 in not normal in B. This implies that $cy_1+dy_2 \neq 0$. Since $x_1 + cy_1 + dy_2$ is normal,

$$x_1(x_1 + cy_1 + dy_2) = (x_1 + cy_1 + dy_2)(ex_1 + fx_2 + gy_1 + hy_2).$$

Since $x_1x_1 = x_1x_1$ in $B/(y_1, y_2)$, $e = 1$ and $f = 0$. Modulo x_1, x_2, we have

$$0 = (cy_1 + dy_2)(gy_1 + hy_2)$$

which implies that $gy_1 + hy_2 = 0$ because $cy_1 + dy_2$ is not zero in the domain $B/(x_1, x_2)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} x_1(x_1 + cy_1 + dy_2) &= (x_1 + cy_1 + dy_2)x_1 \\ &= x_1^2 + ch(x_1y_1 + x_2y_1 + x_1y_2) + dhx_2y_1 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$c = ch, ch + dh = 0, d = ch.$$

So $c = d = 0$, a contradiction. Therefore B has no normal element in degree 1.

The consequence is clear. □

Lemma 4.10. *The algebra $B(h)$ does not contain a graded subalgebra that has Hilbert series $(1-t)^{-3}$. As a consequence, $B(h)$ is not an Ore extension of an Artin-Schelter regular algebra generated by three elements in degree 1.*

Proof. Let A be the subalgebra generated by $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in B_1$. By Lemma 4.3, we may assume y_2 is not in the subspace $kz_1 + kz_2 + kz_3$. This means that, up to a linear transformation, $z_1 = x_1 + ay_2, z_2 = x_2 + by_2, z_3 = y_1 + cy_2$. We order $x_1 < x_2 < y_1 < y_2$ and use lexgraphic order for monomials of higher degrees. Let us compute some elements in the degree two part of A as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
z_1^2 &= x_1^2 + ax_1y_2 + ay_2x_1 + a^2y_2^2 = x_1^2 + ax_1y_2 + ax_2y_1 + a^2y_2^2\\
z_1z_2 &= x_1x_2 + bx_1y_2 + ay_2x_2 + aby_2^2 = x_1x_2 + \text{higher terms}\\
z_2^2 &= x_2^2 + \text{higher terms}\\
z_1z_3 &= x_1y_1 + \text{higher terms}\\
z_2z_3 &= x_2y_1 + \text{higher terms}\\
z_3z_1 &= (y_1 + cy_2)x_1 + \text{higher terms} = hx_1y_1 + hx_1y_2 + \text{higher terms}\\
z_3^2 &= (y_1 + cy_2)^2 = y_1^2 + \text{higher terms}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence these 7 elements are linearly independent and hence $H_A(t) \neq (1-t)^{-3}$.

The consequence is clear. □

Combining the above statements we have Proposition 0.5.

Proof of Proposition 0.5. Part (a) is Proposition 4.6(b). Parts (b) and (e) are proved in Corollary 4.7. Part (c) follows from Lemmas 4.9 and 4.10. Finally part (d) is Corollary 4.8. □

This is the end of Example 4.2.

There are many basic questions about double extensions and here are some obvious ones. Some of which might be really easy, but haven't been solved at the time when this paper was written.

Question 4.11. Let R be a double extension of A.

(a) If A is a domain, is then R a domain?
(b) If A is prime (or semiprime), is then R prime (or semiprime)?

Question 4.12. Let R be a double extension of A. If A is (right) noetherian, is then R (right) noetherian?

If R is an Artin-Schelter regular algebra of global dimension dimension 4, then Question 4.12 has an affirmative answer by the classification in [ZZ].

Question 4.13. Let R be a double extension of A. Does the Auslander (respectively, Cohen-Macaulay) property pass from A to R?

Question 4.14. Let R be a double extension of A. If A has finite (right) Krull dimension, does then R have finite (right) Krull dimension?

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

James J. Zhang is supported by the US National Science Foundation and the Royalty Research Fund of the University of Washington.

References

[AS] M. Artin and W.F. Schelter, *Graded algebras of global dimension* 3, Adv. in Math. **66** (1987), no. 2, 171–216.

[ASZ] M. Artin, L.W. Small and J.J. Zhang, *Generic flatness for strongly Noetherian algebras*, J. Algebra **221** (1999), no. 2, 579–610.

[ATV1] M. Artin, J. Tate and M. Van den Bergh, *Some algebras associated to automorphisms of elliptic curves*, The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. I, 33–85, Progr. Math., **86**, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990.

[ATV2] M. Artin, J. Tate and M. Van den Bergh, *Modules over regular algebras of dimension* 3, Invent. Math. **106** (1991), no. 2, 335–388.

[Be] G.M. Bergman, *The diamond lemma for ring theory*, Adv. in Math. **29** (1978), no. 2, 178–218.

[LSV] L. Le Bruyn, S.P. Smith and M. Van den Bergh, *Central extensions of three-dimensional Artin-Schelter regular algebras*, Math. Z. **222** (1996), no. 2, 171–212.

[LPWZ] D.-M. Lu, J.H. Palmieri, Q.-S. Wu and J.J. Zhang, *Regular algebras of dimension 4 and their* A_∞ − Ext-*algebras*, Duke Math. J. **137** (2007), no. 3, 537–584.

[MR] J.C. McConnell and J.C. Robson, *Noncommutative Noetherian Rings,* Wiley, Chichester, 1987.

[Ny] A. Nyman, *Grassmannians of two-sided vector spaces*, Comm. Algebra **35** (2007), no. 7, 2208–2234

[Or] O. Ore, *Theory of non-commutative polynomials*, Ann. of Math. (2) **34** (1933), no. 3, 480–508.

[Pa] D. Patrick, *Quantum ruled surfaces*, Ph.D. thesis, M.I.T., 1997.

[Sk1] E.K. Sklyanin, *Some algebraic structures connected with the Yang-Baxter equation* (Russian), Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen **16** (1982), no. 4, 27–34.

[Sk2] E.K. Sklyanin, *Some algebraic structures connected with the Yang-Baxter equation. Representations of a quantum algebra*, (Russian) Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen **17** (1983), no. 4, 34–48.

[Sm] S.P. Smith, *Some finite-dimensional algebras related to elliptic curves*, Representation theory of algebras and related topics (Mexico City, 1994), 315–348, CMS Conf. Proc., 19, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.

[SS] S.P. Smith and J.T. Stafford, *Regularity of the four-dimensional Sklyanin algebra,* Compositio Math. **83** (1992), no. 3, 259–289.

[SZ] D.R. Stephenson and J.J. Zhang, *Growth of graded Noetherian rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), no. 6, 1593–1605.

[VV1] K. Van Rompay and M. Vancliff, *Embedding a Quantum Nonsingular Quadric in a Quantum* $\mathbb{P}^3$*,* Algebra **195** No. 1 (1997), 93-129.

[VV2] K. Van Rompay and M. Vancliff, *Four-dimensional Regular Algebras with Point Scheme a Nonsingular Quadric in* $\mathbb{P}^3$, Comm. Alg. **28** No. 5 (2000), 2211-2242.

[VVW] K. Van Rompay, M. Vancliff and L. Willaert *Some Quantum* $\mathbb{P}^3$*s with Finitely Many Points*, Comm. Alg. **26** No. 4 (1998), 1193-1208.

[Va1] M. Vancliff, *Quadratic Algebras Associated with the Union of a Quadric and a Line in* $\mathbb{P}^3$, J. Algebra **165** No. 1 (1994), 63-90.

[Va2] M. Vancliff, *The Defining Relations of Quantum n x n Matrices*, J. London Math. Soc. **52** No. 2 (1995), 255-262.

[YZ] A. Yekutieli and J.J. Zhang, *Homological transcendence degree* Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **93** (2006), no. 1, 105–137.

[ZZ] J.J. Zhang and J. Zhang, *Double extension regular algebras of type (14641)*, preprint, (2007).

[Zh] J.J. Zhang, *Connected graded Gorenstein algebras with enough normal elements,* J. Algebra **189** (1997), no. 2, 390–405.

Department of Mathematics, Box 354350, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA

E-mail address: `(James J. Zhang) zhang@math.washington.edu`

E-mail address: `(Jun Zhang) junz@math.washington.edu`